

**A COMPARATIVE STUDY IN TEACHING READING NARRATIVE  
TEXT BY USING PQ4R (PREVIEW, QUESTION, READ, REFLECT,  
RECITE, REVIEW) AND KWL (KNOW – WANT – LEARN) STRATEGY  
AT SECOND YEAR STUDENTS OF SMPN 1 SUMBERGEMPOL  
TULUNGAGUNG IN THE ACADEMIC YEAR 2015/2016**



**By:**

**MALITA DANI PRATIWI**

**NIM:2813123102**

**ENGLISH EDUCATION DEPARTMENT  
FACULTY OF TARBIYAH AND TEACHER TRAINING  
STATE ISLAMIC INSTITUTE OF TULUNGAGUNG**

**2016**

## **ADVISOR'S APPROVAL SHEET**

This is to certify that *Sarjana* thesis of Malita Dani Pratiwi has been approved by the thesis advisor for further approval by the Board Examiners.

Tulungagung, May 21<sup>st</sup> 2016

Approved by

**Nanik Sri Rahayu, M.Pd**  
**NIP. 19750707 200312 2 002**

## BOARD OF THESIS EXAMINERS' APPROVAL SHEET

This is to certify that the *Sarjana* thesis of Malita Dani, Student Registered Number of 2813123102 entitled "A Comparative Study in Teaching Reading Narrative Text by Using PQ4R (Preview, Question, Read, Reflect, Recite, Review) and KWL (Know – Want – Learn) Strategy at Second Year Students of SMPN 1 Sumbergempol Tulungagung in the Academic Year 2015/2016" has been approved by the Board of Examiners as the requirement for the degree of Sarjana Pendidikan Islam in English Education.

Board of Thesis Examiners:

Signature:

Chair :  
**Dr. Erna Iftanti, S.S., M.Pd**  
NIP. 19720307 200901 2 002

.....

Main Examiner :  
**Muh. Basuni, M.Pd**  
NIP. 19780312 200312 1 001

.....

Secretary :  
**Nanik Sri Rahayu M.Pd**  
NIP. 19750707200312 2 002

.....

Tulugagung, May 2016

Approved by,

The Dean of Faculty of Tarbiyah and Teacher Training

**Dr. H. Abd. Aziz, M.Pd.I**  
NIP. 19720601 200003 1 002

**MOTTO**

*Sharpen the mind, harden the body, soften  
the heart, and be of service to others –  
Abdel Malik Ali*

## DEDICATION

*With all of my love, I proudly dedicate this thesis to:*

*My wonderful mother Mrs. Suprapti; thanks for your endless love and hours of patience. Deeply, no words can represent my grateful for “the life” you have given to me.*

*My beloved father Mr. Gani Pantoro; thanks for the biggest support, prayer and everything you have given to me. I love you.*

## DECLARATION OF AUTHORSHIP

The undersign below:

Name : MALITA DANI PRATIWI

Place, date of birth : Blitar, March 5<sup>th</sup> 1993

NIM : 2813123102

Faculty : Faculty of Tarbiyah and Teacher Training

Department : English Education Department (TBI)

State that the thesis entitled “A Comparative Study in Teaching Reading Narrative Text by Using PQ4R (Preview, Question, Read, Reflect, Recite, Review) and KWL (Know – Want – Learn) Strategy at Second Year Students of SMPN 1 Sumbergempol Tulungagung in the Academic Year 2015/2016” is truly my original work and helped by the expert of this matter. It does not incorporate any materials previously written or published by another person except those indicated in quotation and references. Due to the fact, I am the person who is responsible for the thesis if there are any claims or others.

Tulungagung, May , 2016

The writer,

**Malita Dani Pratiwi**  
**2813123102**

## ABSTRACT

Pratiwi, Malita Dani. Registered Number Student. 2813123102. *A Comparative Study in Teaching Reading Narrative Text by Using PQ4R (Preview, Question, Read, Reflect, Recite, Review) and KWL (Know – Want – Learn) Strategy at Second Year Students of SMPN 1 Sumbergempol Tulungagung in the Academic Year 2015/2016*. Thesis. English Education Department. State Islamic Institute (IAIN) Tulungagung. Advisor: Nanik Sri Rahayu M.Pd.

**Keywords:** PQ4R (Preview, Question, Read, Reflect, Recite and Review) strategy, KWL (Know – Want – Learnt) strategy, reading comprehension, narrative text

Reading is one of the important skills in English that has to be mastered. Reading becomes a major upon teaching and learning process and important tool for academic success. Reading skill must be owned by the students in order to they can achieve successful in their academic process. It is due to most of teaching and learning material in written form. Therefore, the students have to improve their reading ability in comprehending the text. To improve the students' ability in comprehending the text there are some strategies that can be used by the teacher. In this study, two of the strategies used in teaching reading are PQ4R (Preview, Question, Read, Reflect, Recite and Review) and KWL (Know – Want – Learnt) and then the result of two strategies above will be compared.

The formulation of research problem was : 1) Which one is more effective between Preview, Question, Read, Reflect, Recite, Review (PQ4R) or Know- Want-Learn (KWL) in teaching reading to improve the students achievement in reading comprehension of narrative text?

The purpose of this study was to know Which one is more effective between Preview, Question, Read, Reflect, Recite, Review (PQ4R) or Know- Want- Learn (KWL) in teaching reading to improve the students achievement in reading comprehension of narrative text.

Research method: 1) the research design in this study was comparative design with quantitative approach, 2)The population of this study was all students of second year at SMPN 1 Sumbergempol Tulungagung, 3) The sample were VIII A class consists of 30 students and VIII D class consists of 30 class, 4) the research instrument was test, 5) the data analysis was using T test.

The result showed that the students' mean score taught by using PQ4R (Preview, Question, Read, Reflect, Recite and Review) was 83,16 while, the students' mean score taught using KWL (Know – Want – Learnt) was 78,33. The tcount was 2,413. It was higher than ttable at 5% significant level. In the 5% level, the value was 2,000. It can be seen that  $2,413 > 2,000$ . It means that  $H_0$  is rejected, so there were significant different score between those taught by using PQ4R and KWL strategy. In other word, by comparing the means it can be concluded that PQ4R strategy is more effective than KWL strategy in teaching reading narrative text at second year students of SMPN 1 Sumbergempol Tulungagung in the academic year 2015/2016.

## ABSTRAK

Pratiwi, Malita Dani. NIM. 2813123102. *A Comparative Study in Teaching Reading Narrative Text by Using PQ4R (Preview, Question, Read, Reflect, Recite, Review) and KWL (Know – Want – Learn) Strategy at Second Year Students of SMPN 1 Sumbergempol Tulungagung in the Academic Year 2015/2016*. Thesis. Program Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris. Institute Agama Islam Negeri (IAIN) Tulungagung. Pembimbing: Nanik Sri Rahayu M.Pd.

**Kata kunci:** strategy PQ4R (Preview, Question, Read, Reflect, Recite and Review), strategy KWL (Know – Want – Learnt), pemahaman membaca, teks narrative

Membaca adalah salah satu keahlian penting dalam Bahasa Inggris yang harus dikuasai. Membaca menjadi pelajaran pokok pada proses belajar mengajar dan alat penting untuk keberhasilan akademik. Keahlian membaca harus dimiliki oleh para murid agar mereka dapat meraih keberhasilan dalam kegiatan akademik mereka. Itu disebabkan karena sebagian besar bahan ajar dalam bentuk tertulis. Oleh sebab itu, para murid harus meningkatkan kemampuan mereka dalam memahami sebuah bacaan. Untuk meningkatkan kemampuan siswa dalam memahami sebuah bacaan, ada beberapa strategi yang dapat digunakan oleh guru. Dalam penelitian ini, peneliti menggunakan dua strategi yaitu PQ4R (Preview, Question, Read, Reflect, Recite and Review) and KWL (Know – Want – Learnt), kemudian kedua strategi tersebut akan dibandingkan.

Rumusan masalah dalam penelitian ini adalah : 1) strategi apa yang lebih efektif diantara strategy PQ4R dan KWL dalam pembelajaran membaca untuk meningkatkan prestasi siswa dalam pemahaman membaca teks naratif ?

Tujuan dari penelitian ini adalah untuk mengetahui metode apa yang lebih efektif diantara strategy PQ4R dan KWL dalam pembelajaran membaca untuk meningkatkan prestasi siswa dalam pemahaman membaca teks naratif. Metode penelitian dalam penelitian ini adalah: 1) rancangan penelitian yang digunakan adalah rancangan komparatif dengan menggunakan pendekatan kuantitatif, 2) populasi dalam penelitian ini adalah seluruh siswa kelas VIII dari SMPN 1 Sumbergempol, 3) sampel dari penelitian ini adalah kelas VIII A yang terdiri dari 30 siswa dan kelas VIII D yang terdiri dari 30, 4) instrumen yang digunakan dalam penelitian ini adalah test, 5) dan data analisis menggunakan T test.

Hasil dari penelitian ini menunjukkan bahwa rata-rata nilai siswa yang diajar menggunakan strategi PQ4R (Preview, Question, Read, Reflect, Recite and Review) adalah 83,16 sementara, rata-rata nilai siswa yang diajar menggunakan KWL (Know – Want – Learnt) adalah 78,33. Thitung adalah 2,413. Thitung tersebut lebih besar dari pada table pada level signifikan 5%. Pada signifikan level 5% nilainya adalah 2,000. Dapat dilihat bahwa  $2,413 > 2,000$ . Dengan demikian,  $H_0$  ditolak, sehingga terdapat perbedaan nilai yang signifikan diantara siswa yang diajar menggunakan strategi PQ4R dan strategi KWL. Dengan kata lain, dengan membandingkan rata-rata dapat disimpulkan bahwa strategi PQ4R lebih efektif daripada strategi KWL dalam pembelajaran membaca teks naratif pada siswa kelas VIII SMPN 1 Sumbergempol tahun ajaran 2015/2016.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

*Bismillahirrahanirrahim. Alhamdulillahillobbil'alamin.* All praises be to Allah SWT, The Most Beneficent and The Most Merciful, who has given the writer the unremarkable blessings so that the writer can accomplish this thesis. In addition, may peace and salutation be given to our Prophet Muhammad (Pbuh) who has taken all human being from the darkness to the lightness.

The writer would like to deliver the big appreciation and gratitude for:

1. Dr. H. Abd. Aziz, M.Pd.I., the Dean of Faculty of Tarbiyah and Teacher Training of IAIN Tulungagung for his permission to write this thesis.
2. Dr. Arina Shofiya, M.Pd. the Head of English Education Department who has given her some insight so the writer can accomplish this thesis.
3. Nanik Sri Rahyu M.Pd, for her invaluable guidance, suggestion and feedback during the completion of this thesis.
4. Dra. Hj. Lilik Suenti, M.Pd, the headmaster of SMPN 1 Sumbergempol Tulungagung who has given permission to conduct a research at this school.
5. The eight grade students, especially A and D class in the academic year 2015/2016 for the corporation as the sample of this research

Finally, the writer realizes that this thesis is still far from being perfect.. Therefore, criticisms and suggestions from readers are highly appreciated for the improvements of this suggestion,

Tulungagung, May 2016

The Writer

## TABLE OF CONTENT

Cover.....	i
Advisor’s Approval Sheet.....	ii
Board of Thesis Examiners’ Approval Sheet.....	iii
Motto.....	iv
Dedication Sheet.....	v
Declaration of Authorship.....	vi
Abstract.....	vii
Acknowledgement.....	ix
Table of Content.....	x
List of Tables.....	xv
List of Appendices.....	xvi
List of Figures.....	xvii

### CHAPTER I : INTRODUCTION

A. Background of the Research.....	1
B. Formulation of the Research Problem.....	8
C. Objectives of the Study.....	8
D. Research Hypothesis.....	9
E. Significance of the Study.....	9
F. Scope and Limitation of the Study.....	10
G. Definition of Key Terms.....	10
H. The Organization of the Study.....	12

## **CHAPTER II: REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE**

A. The Nature of Reading.....	14
B. The Conception of Reading Comprehension.....	16
C. Teaching Reading.....	18
D. Teaching Reading in Junior High School.....	19
E. Principles in Teaching Reading Comprehension.....	21
F. Strategy in Teaching Reading.....	22
G. Teaching Reading by Using PQ4R Strategy.....	25
1. Definition of PQ4R (Preview, Question, Read, Reflect, Recite and Review) strategy.....	25
2. Steps in Using PQ4R Strategy.....	26
3. The Advantages of Using PQ4R Strategy .....	28
H. Teaching Reading by Using KWL Strategy.....	29
1. Definition of KWL (Know - Want – Learnt).....	29
2. Steps in Using KWL (Know – Want – Learnt) Strategy.....	31
3. The Advantages of Using PQ4R Strategy .....	32

## **CHAPTER III: RESEACH METHOD**

A. Research Design.....	34
B. Population, Sample and Sampling.....	35
1. Population.....	35
2. Sample and Sampling.....	36

C. Research Variable.....	37
1. Independent Variable.....	37
2. Dependent Variable.....	38
D. Data and Data Source.....	38
E. Data Collecting Method and Research Instrument.....	38
1. Data Collecting Method.....	38
2. Research Instrument.....	40
F. Validity and Reliability Testing.....	40
1. Validity.....	41
2. Reliability.....	44
G. Normality and Homogeneity Testing.....	45
1. Normality Testing.....	45
2. Homogeneity Testing.....	47
H. Data Analysis.....	48
I. Range.....	48
J. Inferential Statistic.....	48

#### **CHAPTER IV: RESEARCH FINDING AND DISCUSSION**

A. Research Finding.....	50
1. The Student's Reading Ability in Comprehending Narrative Text after being Taught Using PQ4R (Preview, Question, Read, Reflect, Recite and Review) Strategy.....	50
2. The Student's Reading Ability in	

Comprehending Narrative Text after being Taught Using KWL (Know – Want – Learnt) Strategy.....	55
3. The differences of the student’s achievement when they are taught by using PQ4R and KWL strategy.....	59
B. Hypothesis Testing.....	65
C. Discussion.....	66
1. Student’s Reading Ability Taught by Using PQ4R (Preview, Question, Read, Reflect, Recite and Review) Strategy.....	66
2. Student’s Reading Ability Taught by Using KWL (Know – Want - Learnt) Strategy.....	69
3. The Discussion of Analysis Data on Significant Differences between Student’s Reading Ability Taught by Using PQ4R (Preview, Question, Read, Reflect, Recite and Review) and KWL (Know – Want – Learnt) Strategy.....	72

## **CHAPTER V : CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION**

A. Conclusion.....	75
B. Suggestion.....	76
REFERENCES.....	79

THE RESEARCHER'S CURRICULUM VITAE ..... 83

APPENDICES

## List of Tables

Table	Page
3.1 Standard Competence and Basic Competence in KTSP Curriculum	42
3.2 Criteria of Reliability Testing	45
3.3 Table Normality Using One Kolmogrov-Smirnov Test	46
3.4 Test of Homogeneity of Variances	47
4.1 Frequency of post test score using PQ4R strategy	52
4.2 Statistic data of post test using PQ4R strategy	53
4.3 Categorization score of post test using PQ4R strategy	54
4.4 Table frequency of post test using KWL strategy	56
4.5 Statistic data of post test using KWL strategy	57
4.6 Categorization score of post test using KWL strategy	58
4.7 Statistic significant different score using PQ4R and KWL strategy	60
4.8 Differences of score taught by using PQ4R and KWL strategy	61
4.9 Table of group statistic	63
4.10 Table of independent sample test	64

## **List of Appendices**

- Appendix 1 Lesson Plan
- Appendix 2 Material of Doing Treatment
- Appendix 3 Sample of Student's Work
- Appendix 4 Validation Sheet
- Appendix 5 Posttest
- Appendix 6 Student's Posttest Score
- Appendix 7 Table of Reliability
- Appendix 8 Table of T-Distribution
- Appendix 9 Test Blueprint
- Appendix 10 Photograph
- Appendix 11 Letter



## List of Figures

	Figure	Page
4.1	Histogram of posttest score using PQ4R strategy	52
4.2	Chart categorization posttest using PQ4R strategy	54
4.3	Histogram of posttest using KWL strategy	56
4.4	Histogram categorization posttest using KWL strategy	58
4.5	Histogram categorization posttest using PQ4R and KWL strategy	62