DAFTAR PUSTAKA

Ad'hiya, E., & Laksono, E. W. (2018). Students' analytical thinking skills and chemical literacy concerning chemical equilibrium. 080005. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5062824

Affandy, H., Aminah, N. S., & Supriyanto, A. (2019). The correlation of character education with critical thinking skills as an important attribute to success in the 21 st century. Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 1153, 012132. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1153/1/012132

Agustina, R., Rahma, S., Arni, A., Chrismania Sandria, A., & Sukemi, S. (2022). Karakteristik trayek pH indikator alami dan aplikasinya pada titrasi asam dan basa. Bivalen: Chemical Studies Journal, 5 (2), 51–56.

Anderson, L., Krathwohl, D., Airasian, P., Crukshank, K., Mayer, R., Pintrich, P., Raths, J., & Wittock, M. (2001). A Taxonomy for Learning, Teaching, and Assessing: A Revision of Bloom's Taxonomy of Educational Objectives. Longman.

Arsanti, M., Zulaeha, I., & Subiyantoro, S. (2021). Tuntutan Kompetensi 4C Abad 21 dalam Pendidikan di Perguruan Tinggi untuk Menghadapi Era Society 5.0. Proseding Seminar Nasional Kimia Pascasarjana Universitas Negeri Semarang. http://pps.unnes.ac.id/prodi/prosiding-pascasarjana-unnes/

Ary, D, Jacobs, L. C., & Sorensen, C. (2010). Introduction to Research in Education. Wadsworth.

Bathgate, M., Crowell, A., Schunn, C., Cannady, M., & Dorph, R. (2015). The Learning Benefits of Being Willing and Able to Engage in Scientific Argumentation. International Journal of Science Education, 37(10), 1590–1612. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2015.1045958

Cavagnetto, A. R. (2010). Argument to Foster Scientific Literacy: A Review of Argument Interventions in K–12 Science Contexts.

Review of Educational Research, 80(3), 336–371. https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654310376953

Chen, Y. C., Benus, M. J., & Hernandez, J. (2019). Managing uncertainty in scientific argumentation. April, 1235–1276. https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.21527

Cigdemoglu, C., Arslan, H. O., & Cam, A. (2017). Argumentation to foster pre-service science teachers' knowledge, competency, and attitude on the domains of chemical literacy of acids and bases. Chemistry Education Research and Practice, 18(2), 288–303. https://doi.org/10.1039/C6RP00167J

Delfina, R., setiawati, F., Marneli, D., & Irhash Putra, A. (2022). Relationship Between Scientific Argumentation Skills And Students' Scientific Literacy Skills. Jurnal Pendidikan Biologi Universitas Negeri Medan, 11, 52–58.

Djaen, N., Rahayu, S., Yahmin, Y., & Muntholib, M. (2021). Chemical Literacy of First Year Students on Carbon Chemistry. J-PEK (Jurnal Pembelajaran Kimia), 6(1), 41–62. https://doi.org/10.17977/um026v6i12021p041

Duschl, R. (2008). Science Education in Three-Part Harmony: Balancing Conceptual, Epistemic, and Social Learning Goals. Review of Research in Education, 32(1), 268–291. https://doi.org/10.3102/0091732X07309371

Erduran, S., & Jiménez-Aleixandre, M. P. (2008). Argumentation in science education: Perspectives from classroom-based research. Springer.

Erduran, S., Simon, S., & Osborne, J. (2004). TAPping into argumentation: Developments in the application of Toulmin's Argument Pattern for studying science discourse. Science Education, 88(6), 915–933. https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.20012

Evagorou, M., & Osborne, J. (2013). Exploring young students' collaborative argumentation within a socioscientific issue.

Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 50(2), 209–237. https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.21076

Facione, P. A. (2015). Critical Thinking: What It Is and Why It Counts (7 ed.). Measured Reasons LLC.

Fadlika, R., Hernawati, D., & Meylani, V. (2022). Kemampuan Argumentasi Dan Kemampuan Literasi Sains Peserta Didik Kelas XI MIPA Pada Materi Sel. LENSA (Lentera Sains): Jurnal Pendidikan IPA, 12(1), 9–18. https://doi.org/10.24929/lensa.v12i1.156

Fakhriyah, F., & Masfuah, S. (2021). The analysis of scientific argumentation skill and computational thinking skill of the primary educational teacher department students. 030005. https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0041655

Ferretti, R. P., & Graham, S. (2019). Argumentative writing: Theory, assessment, and instruction. Reading and Writing, 32(6), 1345–1357. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11145-019-09950-x

Firdausiyah, G. (2023). Analisis Hubungan Kemampuan Literasi Kimia dengan Keterampilan Argumentasi Ilmiah Siswa SMA di Kota dan Kabupaten Malang Pada Materi Termokimia. Universitas Negeri Malang.

Hasani, A. (2016). Enhancing argumentative writing skill through contextual teaching and learning. Educational Research and Reviews.

Iordanou, K., & Constantinou, C. P. (2015). Supporting Use of Evidence in Argumentation Through Practice in Argumentation and Reflection in the Context of SOCRATES Learning Environment. Science Education, 99(2), 282–311. https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.21152

Jiménez-Aleixandre, M. P., & Erduran, S. (2007). Argumentation in Science Education: An Overview. Dalam S. Erduran & M. P. Jiménez-Aleixandre (Ed.), Argumentation in Science

Education (Vol. 35, hlm. 3–27). Springer Netherlands. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-6670-2_1

Kimberlin, C. L., & Winterstein, A. G. (2008). Validity and reliability of measurement instruments used in research. American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy, 65(23), 2276–2284. https://doi.org/10.2146/ajhp070364

Larrain, A., Singer, V., Strasser, K., Howe, C., López, P., Pinochet, J., Moran, C., Sánchez, Á., Silva, M., & Villavicencio, C. (2021). Argumentation skills mediate the effect of peer argumentation on content knowledge in middle-school students. Journal of Educational Psychology, 113(4), 736–753. https://doi.org/10.1037/edu0000619

Maknun, D. (2014). Penerapan Pembelajaran Kontekstual Untuk Meningkatkan Literasi Sains Dan Kualitas Argumentasi Siswa Pondok Pesantren Daarul Uluum Pui Majalengka Pada Diskusi Sosiosaintifik Ipa. 21(1), 119–148.

McNeill, K., Krajcik, J., & Hershberger. (2011). Supporting Grade 5-8 Students in Constructing Explanations in Science: The Claim, Evidence, and Reasoning Framework for Talk and Writing (1 st). Pearson Education Inc.

McNeill, K. L. (2011). Elementary students' views of explanation, argumentation, and evidence, and their abilities to construct arguments over the school year. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 48(7), 793–823. https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.20430

OECD. (2023a). PISA 2022 Assessment and Analytical Framework. OECD. https://doi.org/10.1787/dfe0bf9c-en

OECD. (2023b). PISA 2022 Assessment and Analytical Framework. OECD. https://doi.org/10.1787/dfe0bf9c-en

OECD. (2023c). PISA 2022 Results (Volume I): The State of Learning and Equity in Education. OECD. https://doi.org/10.1787/53f23881-en

Pallant, A., & Lee, H.-S. (2015). Constructing Scientific Arguments Using Evidence from Dynamic Computational Climate Models. Journal of Science Education and Technology, 24(2–3), 378–395. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10956-014-9499-3

Parlan, P., Latifah, U., & Muntholib, M. (2020). Development and Implementation of Students' Scientific Argumentation Skills Test in Acid-Base Chemistry. Tadris: Jurnal Keguruan dan Ilmu Tarbiyah, 5(2), 179–190. https://doi.org/10.24042/tadris.v5i2.6388

Perkasa, M., & Aznam, N. (2016). Pengembangan SSP kimia berbasis pendidikan berkelanjutan untuk meningkatkan literasi kimia dan kesadaran terhadap lingkungan. Jurnal Inovasi Pendidikan IPA, 2(1), 46. https://doi.org/10.21831/jipi.v2i1.10269

Priliyanti, A., Muderawan, I. W., & Maryam, S. (2021). Analisis Kesulitan Belajar Siswa dalam Mempelajari Kimia Kelas Xi. Jurnal Pendidikan Kimia Undiksha, 5(1), 11. https://doi.org/10.23887/jjpk.v5i1.32402

Priyono. (2016). Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif. Zifatama.

Putriana. (2021). Hubungan antara kemampuan literasi sains dengan keterampilan argumentasi peserta didik SMA pada materi virus. Universitas Islam Negeri Syarif Hidayatullah Tulungagung.

R, C., & KA, G. (2016). Chemistry 12th edition. Mc Graw- Hill Education.

Rahayu, S. (2017). Mengoptimalkan Aspek Literasi Sains dalam Pembelajaran Kimia Abad 21.

Rudolph. J, & Horibe. S. (2016). What do we mean by science education for civic engagement. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 2, 205–2020.

Sengul, K. A. (2019). Critical Discourse Analysis in Political Communication Research: A Case Study of Right-Wing

Populist Discourse in Australia Critical discourse analysis in political communication research: A case study of right- wing populist discourse in Australia. Communication Research and Practice, 00(00), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1080/22041451.2019.1695082

Shwartz, Y., Ben-Zvi, R., & Hofstein, A. (2006a). The use of scientific literacy taxonomy for assessing the development of chemical literacy among high-school students. Chem. Educ. Res. Pract., 7(4), 203–225. https://doi.org/10.1039/B6RP90011A

Shwartz, Y., Ben-Zvi, R., & Hofstein, A. (2006b). The use of scientific literacy taxonomy for assessing the development of chemical literacy among high-school students. Chem. Educ. Res. Pract., 7(4), 203–225. https://doi.org/10.1039/B6RP90011A

Sigler, E. A., & Julie, S. (2007). Constructivist or expository instructional approaches: Does instruction have an effect on the accuracy of Judgment of Learning (JOL)? Journal of the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning, Vol. 7, No. 2, 22–31.

Simon, S., Erduran, S., & Osborne, J. (2006). Learning to Teach Argumentation: Research and development in the science classroom. International Journal of Science Education, 28(2–3), 235–260. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500690500336957

Siswanto, S. (2014). Penggunaan Tes Essay Dalam Evaluasi Pembelajaran. Jurnal Pendidikan Akuntansi Indonesia, 5(1). https://doi.org/10.21831/jpai.v5i1.864

Sugiono. (2007). Statistika untuk Pendidikan. Alfabeta.

Sugiono. (2014). Metode Penelitian Pendidikan: Pendekatan Kuantitatif, kualitatif, dan R&D,. Alfabeta.

Sugiono. (2019). Metode Penelitian Pendidikan: Pendekatan Kuantitatif, Kualitatif dan R&D (Revisi 2019). Alfabeta.

Taber, K. S. (2013). Revisiting the chemistry triplet: Drawing upon the nature of chemical knowledge and the psychology of

learning to inform chemistry education. Chem. Educ. Res. Pract., 14(2), 156–168. https://doi.org/10.1039/C3RP00012E

Taber, K. S. (2018). The Use of Cronbach's Alpha When Developing and Reporting Research Instruments in Science Education. Research in Science Education, 48(6), 1273–1296. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-016-9602-2

Thummathong, R., & Thathong, K. (2018). Chemical literacy levels of engineering students in Northeastern Thailand. Kasetsart Journal of Social Sciences, 39(3), 478–487. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.kjss.2018.06.009

Viyanti, V., Cari, C., Sunarno, W., & Kun Prasetyo, Z. (2016). Pemberdayaan Keterampilan Argumentasi Mendorong Pemahaman Konsep Siswa. Jurnal Penelitian Pembelajaran Fisika, 7(1). https://doi.org/10.26877/jp2f.v7i1.1152

Wahdan Wilsa, Asrizal, Sri Mulyani Endang Susilowati, & Enni Suwarsi Rahayu. (2017). Problem Based Learning Berbasis Socio-Scientific Issue untuk Mengembangkan Kemampuan Berpikir Kritis dan Komunikasi Siswa. Journal of Innovative Science Education, 6(1).

Walker, J. P., & Sampson, V. (2013). Learning to Argue and Arguing to Learn: Argument-Driven Inquiry as a Way to Help Undergraduate Chemistry Students Learn How to Construct Arguments and Engage in Argumentation During a Laboratory Course. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 50(5), 561–596. https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.21082

Washburn, E., & Cavagnetto, A. (2013). Using Argument As a Tool For Integrating Science And Literacy. 67(2), 127–136. https://doi.org/10.1002/TRTR.1181

Wattanakasiwich, P., Preeda, T., Manjula, D. S., & D Johnston, L. (2013). Development and Implementation of a Conceptual Survey in Thermodynamics. International Journal of

Innovation in Science and Mathematics Education, 21(1), 29–53.

Zulaiha, F., & Kusuma, D. (2021). Analisis Kemampuan Literasi Sains Peserta didik SMP di Kota Cirebon. Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika dan Teknologi, 7(2), 190–201. https://doi.org/10.29303/jpft.v7i2.3049