## CHAPTER IV

## RESEARCH FINDING AND DISCUSSION

This chapter of the thesis detailed with preparation of the analysis data collected from the research, the application of the one predictor regression formula, and analyzing the result of the research as well as discussing the data analysis of research finding.

## A. Research Finding

## 1. Introduction Analysis

## a. Parents' Education Level Background

This study tried to describe the characteristic of parents' education level background. To gather data, the researcher used questionnaire given to the sample of the students in the seventh grade of MTs Darul Huda. The score of questionnaire were listed by summing up the scores of student's answer. To make easy in scoring the questionnaire, the researcher made measuring rod as followed:

Table II

| NO | Father's Education Level Background | Mother's Education Level Background |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | SMP | SMA |
| 2 | SD | SD |
| 3 | SMA | SMP |
| 4 | SD | SD |
| 5 | SMA | SD |
| 6 | DIPLOMA | S1 |
| 7 | SMA | S1 |
| 8 | SD | SD |
| 9 | SMP | SD |
| 10 | S1 | S2 |
| 11 | SMA | S1 |
| 12 | DIPLOMA | SMP |
| 13 | DIPLOMA | SMP |
| 14 | S1 | DIPLOMA |
| 15 | S1 | S1 |
| 16 | SMA | SD |
| 17 | SD | SD |
| 18 | SMP | SMA |
| 19 | SMA | SD |
| 20 | SD | SD |
| 21 | SMA | SD |
| 22 | SD | SMA |
| 23 | S1 | SD |
| 24 | SMP | SD |
| 25 | SD | SD |
| 26 | S1 | SMA |
| 27 | SD | SD |
| 28 | SD | DIPLOMA |
| 29 | SMA | SMP |
| 30 | SD | SD |
| 31 | SMP | SD |
| 32 | SD | SMA |
| 33 | SD | SD |
| 34 | SMP | SD |
| 35 | SD | SMP |
| 36 | SD | SD |
| 37 | SMA | SMA |
| 38 | SD | SMA |
| 39 | SD | SD |
| 40 | SMP | SMP |

Table III
The Result of Parents' Education Level Background Questionnaire


|  | T |  |  |  | - | - |  | , | - |  | - |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Based on the table above, the next step was looking for the mean and the quality of parents' education level background variable (X), there were as followed:
a. Find out the SUM of interval

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{K} & =1+3,3 \log \mathrm{n} \\
& =1+3,3 \log 40 \\
& =1+3,3(1,602059991) \\
& =1+5,286797971 \\
& =6,286797971 \\
& =6
\end{aligned}
$$

b. Find out the range

$$
\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{L}
$$

Where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{R}=\text { Range } \\
& \mathrm{H}=\text { Highest value } \\
& \mathrm{L}=\text { Lowest value }
\end{aligned}
$$

From that data, it was known that:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{H}=84 & \quad \mathrm{~L}=43 \\
\mathrm{R} \quad & =\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{L} \\
& =84-43 \\
& =41
\end{array}
$$

c. Determining class interval

I

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{\text { range }}{\text { Sumof int erval }} \\
& =\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{K} \\
& =41 / 6 \\
& =6,83333333 \\
& =7
\end{aligned}
$$

So, class interval was 7 and the SUM of interval was 6

Table IV

Mean Score Frequency Distribution of Parents' Education Level Background


Based on the result of mean calculation above, the next step was making the category. There ere as followed:

Table V
The Quality of Parents' Education Level Background

| Class <br> Interval | Category | Quality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $74-84$ | High |  |
| $57-70$ | Medium |  |
| $43-49$ | Low |  |

Based on the table above, it was known that the mean from parents'education level background variable in MTs Darul Huda was 57. It meant that the category of parents' education level background was medium. It was on interval 57-70.

## b. Students' English Learning Achievement

The data of this variable was taken from English teacher documentation in MTs Darul Huda. The students' English learning achievement of seventh grade student of MTs Darul Huda in the academic year of 2015/2016 was as followed:

Table VI
The Score of Students' English Learning Achievement

|  | NAME |  | NAME |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | S-01 |  |  |  |  |
|  | S-02 |  | S-21 |  |  |
|  | S-03 |  | S-22 |  |  |
|  | S-04 |  | S-23 |  |  |
|  | S-05 |  | S-24 |  |  |
|  | S-06 |  | S-26 |  |  |


|  | S-07 |  | S-27 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | S-08 |  | S-28 |  |  |  |
|  | S-09 |  | S-29 |  |  |  |
|  | S-10 |  | S-30 |  |  |  |
|  | S-11 |  | S-31 |  |  |  |
|  | S-12 |  | S-32 |  |  |  |
|  | S-13 |  | S-33 |  |  |  |
|  | S-14 |  | S-34 |  |  |  |
|  | S-15 |  | S-35 |  |  |  |
|  | S-16 |  | S-36 |  |  |  |
|  | S-17 |  | S-37 |  |  |  |
|  | S-18 |  | S-38 |  |  |  |
|  | S-19 |  | S-39 |  |  |  |
|  | S-20 |  | S-40 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Based on the table above, the next step was looking for the mean and the quality of students' English learning achievement variable (Y), they were as followed:
a. Find out the SUM of interval

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{K} & =1+3,3 \log \mathrm{n} \\
& =1+3,3 \log 40 \\
& =1+3,3(1,602059991) \\
& =1+5,286797971
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =6,286797971 \\
& =6
\end{aligned}
$$

b. Find out the range

$$
\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{L}
$$

Where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{R}=\text { Range } \\
& \mathrm{H}=\text { Highest value } \\
& \mathrm{L}=\text { Lowest value }
\end{aligned}
$$

From that data, it was known that:

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\mathrm{H} & =90 & \mathrm{~L}=62 \\
\mathrm{R} & =\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{L} \\
& =90-62 \\
& =28
\end{array}
$$

c. Determining class interval

I

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{\text { range }}{\text { Sumof int erval }} \\
& =\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{K} \\
& =28 / 6 \\
& =4,666666667 \\
& =5
\end{aligned}
$$

So, class interval was 5 and the SUM of interval was 6

## Table VII

Mean Score Frequency Distribution of Student's English Learning Achievement


Based on the result of mean calculation above, the next step was making the category.
There were as followed:

Table VII
The Quality of Student s' English Learning Achievement

| Class <br> Interval | Category | Quality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $82-91$ | High |  |
| $72-81$ | Well |  |
| $62-66$ | Fair |  |

Based on the table above, it was known that the mean from students'English learning achievement variablein MTs Darul Huda was 75. It meant that the category of students'English learning achievement was good. It was on interval $72-81$.

## 2. Hypothesis Analysis

This analysis was used to prove that the hypothesis was accepted or rejected. Inthis research, the hypothesis was there was positive influence between parent s'education level background and the students’ English learning achievement in MTs Darul Huda in the academic year of 2015/2016.

To prove that hypothesis, the writer used one predictor regression formula with standard deviation as followed:
a. Looking for the correlation between predictor $(\mathrm{X})$ and the criterion $(\mathrm{Y})$ could be found by the correlation product moment technique, with formula:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{xy}} & =\sum x y \\
\sum x y & =\sum x y-\frac{\left(\sum X\right)^{2}}{\mathrm{~N}} \\
\sum X^{2} & =\sum X^{2} \frac{-\left(\sum X\right)^{2}}{\mathrm{~N}} \text { and } \\
\sum y^{2} & =\sum Y^{2} \frac{-\left(\sum Y\right)^{2}}{\mathrm{~N}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Table IX The Coefficient Correlation between Variable X (Parents' Education Level
Background) and Variable Y (Students' English Learning Achievement)

| N | X | Y | X2 | Y2 | XY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 57 | 80 | 3249 | 6400 | 4560 |
| 2 | 45 | 80 | 2025 | 6400 | 3600 |
| 3 | 60 | 62 | 3600 | 3844 | 3720 |
| 4 | 56 | 62 | 3136 | 3844 | 3472 |
| 5 | 54 | 76 | 2916 | 5776 | 4104 |
| 6 | 72 | 86 | 5184 | 7396 | 6192 |
| 7 | 57 | 68 | 3249 | 4624 | 3876 |
| 8 | 49 | 84 | 2401 | 7056 | 4116 |
| 9 | 43 | 66 | 1849 | 4356 | 2838 |
| 1 | 84 | 90 | 7056 | 8100 | 7560 |
| 1 | 54 | 78 | 2916 | 6084 | 4212 |
| 1 | 50 | 84 | 2500 | 7056 | 4200 |
| 1 | 50 | 74 | 2500 | 5476 | 3700 |
| 1 | 71 | 84 | 5041 | 7056 | 5964 |
| 1 | 77 | 88 | 5929 | 7744 | 6776 |
| 1 | 56 | 76 | 3136 | 5776 | 4256 |
| 1 | 44 | 72 | 1936 | 5184 | 3168 |
| 1 | 44 | 64 | 1936 | 4096 | 2816 |
| 1 | 60 | 68 | 3600 | 4624 | 4080 |
| 2 | 51 | 62 | 2601 | 3844 | 3162 |
| 2 | 60 | 78 | 3600 | 6084 | 4680 |
| 2 | 49 | 66 | 2401 | 4356 | 3234 |
| 2 | 76 | 84 | 5776 | 7056 | 6384 |
| 2 | 43 | 76 | 1849 | 5776 | 3268 |
| 2 | 79 | 88 | 6241 | 7744 | 6952 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 53 | 66 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2809 | 4356 | 3498 |
| 2 | 61 | 74 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3721 | 5476 | 4514 |
| 2 | 51 | 68 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2601 | 4624 | 3468 |
| 2 | 50 | 84 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2500 | 7056 | 4200 |
| 3 | 43 | 72 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1849 | 5184 | 3096 |
| 3 | 66 | 78 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 4356 | 6084 | 5148 |
| 3 | 59 | 70 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3481 | 4900 | 4130 |
| 3 | 44 | 68 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1936 | 4624 | 2992 |
| 3 | 76 | 78 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 5776 | 6084 | 5928 |
| 3 | 63 | 72 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3969 | 5184 | 4536 |
| 3 | 47 | 74 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2209 | 5476 | 3478 |
| 3 | 51 | 76 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2601 | 5776 | 3876 |
| 3 | 53 | 76 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2809 | 5776 | 4028 |
| 3 | 44 | 62 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1936 | 3844 | 2728 |
| 4 | 60 | 80 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3600 | 6400 | 4800 |
|  | $\sum$ | $\sum$ | $\sum X^{2}$ | $\sum Y^{2}$ | $\sum X$ |
|  | 2262 | 2994 | 1327 | 2265 | 171310 |
|  |  |  | 8 | 9 |  |
|  |  |  | 0 | 6 |  |

Based on the table above, it was known that the result of coefficient correlation value was:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{N} & : 40 \\
\sum X & : 2262
\end{array}
$$

$\sum Y: 2994$
$\sum X^{2}: 132780$
$\sum Y^{2}: 226596$
$\sum X Y: 171310$
To examine the hypothesis, the steps were as followed:

1) Looking for the value of correlation between variable ( X ); parents' education level background and variable (Y); students’ English learning achievement in MTs Darul

Huda in the academic year of 2015/2016, with using the formula:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{xy}} & =\sqrt{\sum x y} \\
& \sqrt{\left(\sum x^{2}\right)\left(\sum y^{2}\right)} \\
& =\sum x y-\frac{\left(\sum X\right)\left(\sum Y\right)}{\mathrm{N}} \\
& =171310-\frac{(2262)(2994)}{40} \\
& =171310-\frac{6772428}{40} \\
& =171310-169310,7 \\
& =1999,3 \\
\sum X^{2} & =\sum X^{2}-\frac{\left(\sum X\right)^{2}}{\mathrm{~N}} \\
& =132780-\frac{(2262)^{2}}{40} \\
& =132780-\frac{5116644}{40} \\
& =132780-127916,1 \\
& =4863,9 \\
\sum y^{2} & =\sum y^{2} \frac{-\left(\sum y\right)^{2}}{\mathrm{~N}} \\
& =226596-(2994)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\boxed{40}}{}=226596-\frac{8964036}{40} \\
& =226596-22100,9 \\
& =2495,1
\end{aligned}
$$

From the calculation above, it was known that the values were as followed:
$\sum x y=1999,3$
$\sum x^{2}=4863,9$
$\sum y^{2}=2495,1$
Data above was then included in the product moment formula as followed:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{xy}} & =\frac{\sum x y}{\sqrt{\left(\sum x^{2}\right)\left(\sum y^{2}\right)}} \\
& =\frac{1999,3}{\sqrt{(4864 X 2495)}} \\
& =\frac{1999,3}{\sqrt{12135680}} \\
& =\frac{1999,3}{3483,63} \\
& =0,5739128438 \\
& =0,574
\end{aligned}
$$

Based on the calculation above, it was known that the coefficient correlation between variable X and variable Y was 0,574
2) Examining whether there was significant correlation or not by consulting the result of $r_{x y}$ on $r_{\text {table }}$.

After doing the correlation test with product moment correlation formula, the result was consulted with $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{t}}$ (table) on the significant level 5\%.
i. It was significant if $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{xy}}>\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{t}}(0,05)$, hypothesis was accepted ii. It was not significant if $r_{x y}<r_{t}(0,05)$, hypothesis was rejected From the result of calculation above, it was known that $r_{x y}=0,574>0,312 r_{\text {table }}$ $(0,05)$. It meant that hypothesis wasnot accepted. So, there was positive correlation between parent s' education level background and students' English learning achievement.

From the result above, the researcher will interpret that category of coefficient correlation based on the following:
$0,90-1,00$ means very high correlation
$0,70-0,90$ means high correlation
$0,40-0,70$ means enough correlation
$0,20-0,40$ means low correlation

Based on the calculation above, the researcher concluded that the correlation between variable X and variable Y had the positive correlation with the score correlation 0,574 (it was categorized "enough correlation").
b. Looking for the regression similarity
$Y=a x+K$

Where:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{Y} & =\text { Criterion } \\
\mathrm{X} & =\text { Predictor } \\
\mathrm{A} & =\text { the numeral of predictor coefficient } \\
\mathrm{K} & =\text { the numeral of constant }
\end{array}
$$

To look for the value of a and K , the writer used deviation score method. The formula was as followed:

$$
y=a x \text { or } Y-Y=a(X-Y)
$$

Where $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Y}$ and $\mathrm{a}=\frac{\sum x y}{\sum x^{2}}$
From that data, it was known that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum x y & =1999,3 \\
\sum x^{2} & =4863,9 \\
\sum y^{2} & =2495,1 \\
\mathrm{a} & =\frac{\sum x y}{\sum x^{2}} \\
& =\frac{1999,3}{4863,9} \\
& =0,4110487469 \\
\mathrm{Y} & =0,4110487469 \mathrm{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

From the data which was collected, it could be looked for:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
Y & =\sum Y=\frac{2994}{N}=\frac{74,85}{40} \\
X & =\sum X=\frac{2262}{N}=\frac{56,55}{40}
\end{array}
$$

So, the regression similarity was:

$$
\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{ax} \text { or } \mathrm{Y}-Y=\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{X}-X)
$$

It could be done as followed:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y-74,85 & =0,4110487469(X-56,55) \\
Y-74,85 & =0,4110487469-23,2448066372 \\
Y & =0,4110487469(-23,2448066372)+74,85 \\
Y & =0,4110487469+51,6051933628
\end{aligned}
$$

From the calculation above, the regression similarity was:

$$
Y=0,4110487469+51,6051933628
$$

c. Variant analysis of regression line

This analysis was used to looking for the correlation between criterion and predictor using one predictor regression with deviation score formula.

$$
\begin{aligned}
J K_{\text {reg }} & =\frac{\left(\sum x y\right)^{2}}{\sum x^{2}} \\
& =\frac{(1999,3)^{2}}{4863,9} \\
& =\frac{3997200,49}{4863,9} \\
& =821,8097596579 \\
& =821,810 \\
J K_{\text {res }} & =\sum y^{2}-\frac{\left(\sum x y\right)^{2}}{\sum x^{2}} \\
& =2495,1-821,8097596579 \\
& =1673,2902403421 \\
& =1673,290 \\
\mathrm{db}_{\text {reg }} & =1 \\
\mathrm{db}_{\text {res }} & =\mathrm{N}-2
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =40-2 \\
& =38 \\
R K_{\text {reg }} & =\frac{J K_{\text {reg }}}{d b_{\text {reg }}} \\
& =\frac{821,8097596579}{1} \\
& =821,8097596579 \\
& =821,810 \\
R K_{\text {res }} & =\frac{J K_{\text {res }}}{d b_{\text {res }}} \\
& =\underline{1673,2902403421} \frac{38}{} \\
& =44,0339536932 \\
& =44,034 \\
J K_{\text {total }} & =\sum y^{2} \\
& =2495,1 \\
F_{\text {reg }} & =\frac{R K_{\text {reg }}}{R K_{\text {reg }}} \\
& =\frac{821,8097596579}{44,0339536932} \\
& =18,6630926985 \\
& =18,663
\end{aligned}
$$

To know the result of the regression analysis computation above, it couldbe seen on the summary of regression analysis table as followed:

## Table X

The Summary of Regression Analysis

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Variant Rec ourc e \& D \& JK \& RK \& $\mathrm{F}_{\text {reg }}$ \& $\mathrm{F}_{t a}$

0,0 <br>

\hline $$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Regressi } \\
\text { on }
\end{gathered}
$$ \& 1 \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hline 821,81 \\
0
\end{gathered}
$$
\] \& 821,8

1
0 \& 18,6
6
3 \& 4,1 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

| Residual | 3 | 1673,2 | 44,03 |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | 3 | 90 | 4 |  |  |

## 2. Final Analysis

After knowing the regression analysis, the next step was consulting the result with F table, on the significant level $5 \%$. From the hypothesis test above, it wa s known that $\mathrm{F}_{\text {reg }}=18,663>4,10 \mathrm{~F}_{\text {table }}(0,05)$, it meant the hypothesis was accepted. So there was positive influence between parents' education level background and students' English learning achievement.

## B. Discussion

According to the hypothesis above, it could be proved that the influence of parents' education level background to the students' English learning achievement in MTs Darul Huda showed it is significant or there is positive influence of parent's education level background to the student's English learning achievement result in 5\% significance. Thus, hypothesis wasaccepted.

From the coefficient test above, could be known that $r_{x y}=0,574$. Because $r_{x y}=0$, $574>\mathrm{r}(5 \%)=0,312$, it meantsignificant. From the result of the correlation between parents' education level background and students' English learning achievement, it was known that $\mathrm{F}_{\text {reg }}=18,663>\mathrm{F}_{\text {table }}(5 \%)=4,10$. It meant significant. So, there was significant influence between parents' education background toward students' English learning achievement. Thus, higher education level background, the higher students' English learning achievement .

According Hornby, A.S. (2004:479) There were some reasons why parents' education level background could influence students' English learning achievement.

1. Parents with high education level background usually had a lot of experience and wide discourse. It was needed to make good leadership in family, higher levels of education may be access to resources, such as income, time, energy, and community contacts, that allow for greater parental involvement in a child's education especially in educating their children.
2. Higher level of education might be access to resources, such as income, time, energy, and community contacts, that allowed for greater parental involvement in a child's education.
3. Level of education also influenced parents' knowledge, beliefs, values, and goals about childrearing, so that a variety of parental behaviors were indirectly related to children's school performance.

Although parents' education level background had positive influence to the students' English learning achievement, in fact the result of the analysis showed that Parent's high education level background cannot reach maximum level that is 1,000 and the effective contribution of parent's education level background to the student's English achievement is $10 \%$ cannot reach maximum level that is $100 \%$. Thus the influence of parent's education level background to the student's English learning achievement was low. It means that student's English learning achievement in MTs Darul Huda was still being affected by other factors which were not studied now.

Those factors were as follow:

1. The perception that English was difficult lesson in school.
2. A poor motivation from students to learn English seriously.
3. The difficulties in memorizing the new words influenced by culture, pronunciation and grammar.
4. There was no big willingness to learn English.
