

## **References**

- Alvin, W (2021). A survey study: the use of internet-based media used by high school english teachers in batu.
- Abbasy, M.B., and Quesada, E.V. (2007). Predictable influence of IoT (internet of things)in higher education.
- Ahmad, Jameel,. (2012). *English language teaching (ELT) and integration of media technology.*
- Al-Asmari, A. M. (2005). *The use of the Internet among EFL teachers at the colleges of technology in Saudi Arabia* (Doctoral dissertation, The Ohio State University).
- Arsyad, A. (2011). *Media pembelajaran.* Jakarta : PT Raja Grafindo Persada.
- Ary, D., Jacobs. L. C., Sorensen. C & Razavieh. A (2010). *Introduction to Research in education.* Wadsworth Cengage Learning.
- Bajracharya, Biju., Blackford, Cody., Chelladurai, Jeyaprakash. (2018). *Prospect of internet of things in education systems.*
- Cephe, P. T., & Balçikanlı, C. (2012). Web 2.0 tools in language teaching: What do student teachers think. *International Journal on New Trends in Education and Their Implications*, 3(1), 1-12.
- Ceyhun Yükselir, (2015). English foreign language (efl) instructors' and teachers' perceptions towards the integration of internet-assisted language teaching(ialt) into efl instruction, Yükselir C. - ERIES Journal vol. 9 no. 1

- Creswell, J. W. (2014). Research design. (4th ed.). SAGE Publications, California.
- Dudeney, Gavin and Nicky Hockly. (2007). *How to teach English with technology*. *Edinburgh Gate Harlow Essex : Pearson Education Limited*.
- GAGNÉ, R. B., & Briggs, L. L.(1975): “*Principles of Instructional Design*”.
- Gerlach, V. S & Ely, D. P. (1971). *Teaching and Media. A. Systematic Approach*. Englewood cliffs: Prentice-Hall, Inc.
- Gerlach, V. S., Ely, D. P., & Melnick, R. (1971). *Teaching and media: A systematic approach*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Graddol, D. (1997). *The future of English*. London : British Council
- Gul, et al. (2017). *A survey on role of internet of things in education*.
- Hadi, Sofian. (2015). *Pengunaan media dalam proses pembelajaran Bahasa Inggris*.
- Hamalik. Oemar. 1992. Psikologi Belajar Mengajar. Bandung: Sinar Baru.
- Hilbert, M., & López, P. (2011). *The world's technological capacity to store, communicate, and compute information*. science, 332(6025), 60-65.
- ITU. (2019). *Global ICT developments*.
- James, L. (2014). The integration of a computer-based early reading program to increase english language learners'literacy skills. *Teaching english with technology*, 14(1), 9-22.

*Jose, J., Abidin, Z., & Jafre, M. (2015). Application of information and communication technology tools for English language teaching in an Omani context. Arab World English Journal (AWEJ) Special Issue on CALL, (2).*

*Jose, Jayaron., Jafre, M. Z. A. (2015). Application of information and communication technology tools for English language teaching in an Omani Context.*

*Khalaf, Jassim A. (2018). The effectiveness of using internet in TEFL Learning.*

*Lee, Chyntia, George Jor and Eva Lai. (2005). Web based teaching and English language teaching : a hong kong experience. Hong Kong : the Chinese University press.*

*Mahdum, M., Hadriana, H., & Safriyanti, M. (2019). Exploring teacher perceptions and motivations to ict use in learning activities in indonesia. Journal of Information Technology Education, 18.*

*Marpaung, S. (2017). Penggunaan media dalam pembelajaran Bahasa inggris di sekolah menengah atas.*

*McShane, S. L., & Glinow, M. A. V. (2008). Organizational behavior. New York: McGraw-Hill.*

*Medin, M. (2002). A study of Hispanic EFL students learning English within CALL classroom : student introspection on learning, technology, and community*

*Mollaei, F and Javad, M, R. 2013. Teachers' Perceptions of Using Technology in Teaching EFL. International Journal of Applied linguistics and English Literature*

Moore, Fahmeena .O. (2016). *Qualitative vs Quantitative*

Motteram and Sharma (2009) state that the internet as a learning resource provides a positive impact on costs where the process does not require a fee or free of charge

Mrabet, Hicham., Ait, Abdelaziz. A. (2017). *Smart classroom environment via iot in basic and secondary.*

Rohani, (2019). Media pembelajaran.

Sabar, K., & Rahman, A. (2016). *Penggunaan Web (E-Learning) dalam Proses Pembelajaran Bahasa Inggris di Briton International English School Makassar. KAREBA: jurnal ilmu komunikasi, 1(4), 444-456.*

Septiana, M. M., Suryani, N., & Musadad, A. A. (2019). *Effectiveness of Local History Module Based on Inquiry to Improve the Learning Achievement of High School Students. JPP (Jurnal Pendidikan dan Pembelajaran), 25(1), 1-7.*

Shin, Hee-Jae and Son, Jeong-Bae. (2007). *EFL teachers' perceptions and perspective on internet-assisted language teaching. Computer-Assisted Language Learning Electronic Journal (CALL-EJ), 8 (2). ISSN 1442-438X*

Singhal, M., (1997). *The internet and foreign language education : benefits and challenges.*

Sudartini, S. (2010). *Internet and English language teaching*

Sugiyono. (2013). *Metode Penelitian Pendidikan Pendekatan Kualitatif, Kuantitatif dan R&D. Bandung : Alfabeta.*

- Syahrudin, D. (2010). *Peranan Media Gambar Dalam Pembelajaran Menulis*. *EduHumaniora| Jurnal Pendidikan Dasar Kampus Cibiru*, 2(1).
- Thienthong, A., Lian, Andrew. (2015). *The use of internet resources and applications for language instruction*
- Toyoda, E. (2001). *Exercise of learner autonomy in project-oriented CALL*.
- Trilling, B., & Hood, P. (1999). Learning, technology, and education reform in the knowledge age or "we're wired, webbed, and windowed, now what?". *Educational technology*, 5-18.
- Utari, A. A., & Hidayatullah, S. P. (2019, February). Manfaat ict sebagai media pembelajaran di sd dharmajaya palembang. In *prosiding seminar nasional program pascasarjana universitas pgri palembang* (Vol. 12, No. 01).
- Wijayanti, L. (2018, October). Peningkatan kompetensi guru dalam kurikulum 2013 dan kerangka pembelajaran abad 21. In *prosiding seminar nasional pendidikan dasar 2018*. Stkip bina bangsa getsempena.
- Yang, S. C., & Chen, Y. J. (2007). Technology-enhanced language learning: A case study. *Computers in human behavior*, 23(1), 860-879.
- Yunus, M.Y, Hashim, H., Embi, M.A and Lubis, M.A. (2010). *The utilization of ICT in the teaching and learning of English : Tell Me More*.

# Questionnaire

## Section 1: EFL teachers' attitudes toward the use of mobile phones for language learning/teaching

| Items  | Strongly<br>disagree | Disagree | Agree | Strongly<br>agree |
|--|----------------------|----------|-------|-------------------|
| 1. The use of mobile phones will facilitate the process of language learning                           |                      |          |       |                   |
| 2. Portability is an important property of mobile devices  |                      |          |       |                   |
| 3. The use of mobile phones can create interactive learning environments                               |                      |          |       |                   |
| 4. The multimedia used in mobile phones is useful for EFL learning                                     |                      |          |       |                   |
| 5. Scaffolding can be provided for each learner through the use of mobile phones for language teaching |                      |          |       |                   |
| 6. Mobile phones can be used to teach/learn different language skills                                  |                      |          |       |                   |
| 7. The use of mobile phones for language teaching/learning is cost-effective                           |                      |          |       |                   |
| 8. The use of mobile phones for language teaching/learning is time-efficient                           |                      |          |       |                   |
| 9. Mobile phones can be connected to the Internet at any time  |                      |          |       |                   |
| 10. Mobile phones provide learners with ubiquitous language learning opportunities                     |                      |          |       |                   |

## Section 2: EFL teachers' perspectives on the challenges to the use of mobile phones for language learning/teaching

| Items   | Strongly<br>disagree | Disagree | Agree | Strongly<br>agree |
|---|----------------------|----------|-------|-------------------|
| 1. The small screen size of mobile phones                                       |                      |          |       |                   |
| 2. Slow Internet speed  |                      |          |       |                   |
| 3. Internet connectivity problems   |                      |          |       |                   |
| 4. Students' non-use of mobile phones   |                      |          |       |                   |
| 5. High costs of mobile phones  |                      |          |       |                   |
| 6. High cost of connectivity to the Internet                                    |                      |          |       |                   |
| 7. Students' lack of skill/knowledge to use mobile phones for academic purposes |                      |          |       |                   |
| 8. Incompatibility of the use of mobile phones with language teaching/learning  |                      |          |       |                   |
| 9. Students' resistance to the use of mobile phones for academic purposes       |                      |          |       |                   |
| 10. Lack of language learning mobile-based software and activities              |                      |          |       |                   |

*Section 3: EFL teachers' perceptions of the current use of mobile phones for their EFL courses*

| Items  | Never | Rarely | Sometimes | Frequently | Always |
|--|-------|--------|-----------|------------|--------|
| 1. How often do you use mobile phones for your teaching practices?             |       |        |           |            |        |
| 2. How often do students use mobile phones for their learning in your classes? |       |        |           |            |        |

*Section 4: EFL teachers' perceptions of their ability to use/develop MALL activities and software*

| Items   | Not proficient | Fairly proficient | Undecided | Proficient | Very proficient |
|---|----------------|-------------------|-----------|------------|-----------------|
| 1. Designing MALL activities                                    |                |                   |           |            |                 |
| 2. Ability to adapt your teaching styles/techniques to MALL     |                |                   |           |            |                 |
| 3. Ability to evaluate MALL software tools                      |                |                   |           |            |                 |
| 4. Your ICT literacy to use mobile phones for language teaching |                |                   |           |            |                 |
| 5. Ability to use MALL software tools                           |                |                   |           |            |                 |