

CHAPTER IV

FINDINGS

This chapter presents the findings and discussion of the research which are then compared with the theory reviewed in chapter two. The findings in this research are the presidential participant utterances which contain Face threatening acts and also politeness strategies which are performed by the presidential contestant in order to soften the face threatening acts. The findings in this research have answered the research questions, they are face threatening acts and also politeness strategies which are performed by the presidential participant.

A. Findings

This chapter presents the research findings and discussion of the findings that derived from research problems in which the first question concerns with FTA, the second problems focuses on FTA formally realized and the third problems focuses on the politeness used.

1. FTA performed by the contestant

This study reveals that there are 21 Face Threatening Acts performed by the contestant: Accusing, Insulting, Disagreeing, and Criticizing. Each will be presented as follow.

a. Accusing

The first FTA performed by the contestant the second US Presidential debate is accusing. These below analyses and descriptions are

the data of accusing face threatening acts from the five data which have been found by the researcher.

Datum 1

Trump : “Well you owe the president an apology because as you know very well, your campaign, Sidney Blumenthal, he’s another real winner that you have and he’s the one that got this started along with your campaign manager and ………”

Raddatz : “Secretary Clinton,I will let you respond.”

Clinton : “**Everything he just said is absolutely false, but I’m not surprised.**”[1]

Context :

Setting and scene : Dialogue above occurs in the Washington University where Donald debate with Clinton about Clinton’s email

Participant :Trump, Clinton, Raddatz,

Act Sequence :Trump talks about Clinton’s email since she as a minister

Raddatz as a moderator ask to Clinton to gives a respond

Clinton respond Trump’s statement.

This statement came when Donald Trump criticizes about Hillary’s email. Beside that Donald says about Hillary's campaign with Obama’s wife that shows in commercial TV. Donald assume that Clinton look for profit from this. Then Clinton responds that all of that he said are false.

Utterance (3) shows that accusing as a FTA. The act of accusing in datum 3 is written in bold utterance. That utterance includes in accusing

face threatening act because the addresser accused the addressee that the addressee give a wrong statement. Here the addresser has threatened addressee's positive face. Everyone has the desire to be admired and always in right position. The act of accusing will bring the addressee to the wrong position.

Datum 2

Clinton : *“You know, under our constitution, presidents have something called veto power. Look, he has now said repeatedly 30 years this and 30 years that. So let me talk about my 30 years in public service. I'm very glad to do so. Eight million kids every year have health insurance because when I was first lady I worked with Democrats and Republicans to create the children's health insurance program. Hundreds of thousands of kids now have a chance to be adopted because I worked to change our adoption and foster care system. After 9/11, I went to work with Republican mayor, governor and president to rebuild New York and to get health care for our first responders who were suffering because they had run towards danger and gotten sickened by it. Hundreds of thousands of National Guard and reserve members have healthcare because of work that I did and children have safer medicines because I was able to pass a law that required the dosing to be more carefully done. When I was secretary of state,.....”*

Raddatz : *“We're going to move on to Syria. Both of you have mentioned that.”*

Trump : *“But she said a lot of things that were false. I think we should“[2]*

Context :

Setting and scene	: Dialogue above occurs in the Washington University where Raddatz as a moderator and Trump as a candidate of America's president.
Participant	: Clinton, Trump, Raddatz
Act Sequence	: Clinton explain about her job as senator Raddatz change the theme about Syria Trump responses Hillary's statement

In this moment, Clinton said that she proud to work in the public service. She can to create the children's health insurance program. Save the children from insurance program. She arounds the world advocating for her country and negotiated a treaty with Russia to lower nuclear weapons. Then Trump accuses all of she said. He thinks that's false.

The act of accusing in datum 4 is written in bold utterance. That utterance includes in accusing face threatening act. Here the addresser has threatened addressee's positive face. Everyone has the desire to be admired and always in right position. The act of accusing will bring the addressee to the wrong position.

Datum 3

Raddatz	: <i>"What would you do different than than President Obama is doing?"</i>
Trump	: <i>"Everything."</i>
Clinton	: <i>"Martha, I hope that by the time I am president that we will have pushed ISIS out of Iraq. I do think that</i>

*there is a good chance that we can take Mosul. **And you know, Donald said he knows more about ISIS than the generals. No, he doesn't.....**”[3]*

Context :

- Setting and scene : Dialogue above occurs in the Washington University where Raddatz as a moderator
- Participant : Clinton, Trum, Raddatz
- Act Sequence : Raddatz asks to contestant
Trump answers the question
Clinton gives comment to Trump

In this conversation, Raddadz ask to participant about their work what different with Obama. Trump says that he want to work different with Obama. A lot of the ISIS leaders are in the Mosul. He wants to attacking Mosul in three weeks or four weeks. After that, Clinton gives a comment that actually Trump does not know all of about ISIS. There are many strategies that must be planning to smash ISIS.

The act of accusing in datum 5 is written in bold utterance. That utterance includes in accusing face threatening act. Here the addresser has threatened addressee’s positive face. Everyone has the desire to be admired and always in right position. The act of accusing will bring the addressee to the wrong position.

Datum 4

Cooper : We have to move on. Secretary Clinton you get to respond, then we have to move on.

Trump : Hold on, wait a minute, if a member of the private sector did that, they'd be in jail. Let alone, after getting a subpoena from the United States Congress.

Cooper : Secretary Clinton, you get to respond then we have to move on to a audience question.

Clinton : *It's just not true.*[4]

Context :

Setting and scene : Dialogue above occurs in the Washington University where Raddatz as a moderator

Participant : Clinton, Trum, Cooper

Act Sequence : Cooper asks Clinton to give a respond
Trump gives a comment
Cooper asks Clinton to give a respond
Clinton defend herself

The dialogue begin from Trumps comment about Clinton's email. Clinton are using a personal email account to gives a sensitif information about her country. He dissappointed, Republicans for allowing this to happen. After that, Clinton accuses Trump's respond.

The act of accusing in datum 6 is written in bold utterance. That utterance includes in accusing face threatening act. Here the addresser has threatened addressee's positive face. Everyone has the desire to be admired

and always in right position. The act of accusing will bring the addressee to the wrong position.

Datum 5

Cooper : “Secretary Clinton you have two minutes. The question was, what specific tax provisions would you change to ensure the wealthiest Americans pay their fair share of taxes.”

Clinton : “**Well, everything you’ve heard from Donald is not true.** I’m sorry I have to keep saying this, but he lives in an alternative reality. And it is sort of amusing to hear somebody who hasn’t paid federal income taxes in maybe 20 years talking about what he’s going to do, but I’ll tell you what he’s going to do. His plan will give.....”[5]

Context:

Setting and scene : Dialogue above occurs in the Washington University when Trump and Hillary doing the second presidential debate

Participant : Clinton, Cooper

Act Sequence : Cooper asks Clinton to give a comment
Clinton gives a comment

Cooper asks Clinton to give a respond about Trump’s opinion. Clinton accuses all of Trump said. The act of accusing in datum 5 is written in bold utterance. That utterance includes in accusing face threatening act. Here the addresser has threatened addressee’s positive face.

b. Insulting

The second FTA performed the contestant in the second US presidential debate is insulting. Below analyses and descriptions the data from four data which can be found by the researcher in this second presidential debate.

Datum 6

Trump : *“Frankly, you hear these things. They are said. And I was am embarrassed by it. But I have respect for women—“*

Cooper : *“—Have you ever done those things? “*

Trump : *“— And they have respect for me. And I will tell you, no I have not. And I will tell you, that I’m going to make our country safe and we’re going to have borders which we don't have now. People are pouring into our country and.....”*

Cooper : *“Thank you very much. Secretary Clinton, do you want to respond?”*

Clinton : *” Well, like everyone else, I’ve spent a lot of time thinking over the last 48 hours about what we heard and saw. You know, with prior Republican nominees for president, I disagreed with them on politics, policies, principles, but I never questioned their fitness to serve. Donald Trump is different. I said starting back in June that he was not fit to be president and commander in chief. And many Republicans and independents have said the same thing. What we all saw and heard on Friday was Donald talking about women, what he thinks about women, what he does to women, and he has said that the video doesn’t represent who he is. But I think it's clear to anyone who heard it, that it represents exactly who he is. Because we have seen this throughout the campaign. **We have seen him insult women. We have seen him rate women on their appearance, ranking them from one to ten, we’ve seen him embarrass women on TV and on Twitter.....”**[6]*

Context :

Setting and scene : Dialogue above occurs in the Washington University when Trump and Hillary doing the second presidential debate

Participant : Clinton, Trum, Cooper

Act Sequence : Trump says that he respect to women
 Cooper asks about Trump's record
 Trump gives a comment
 Cooper asks again about the record
 Trump tells about the issue
 Clinton gives a comment about Trump's case

The conversation above begin from Trump's issue about his treatment to women. The record shows that Trump says taboo word to woman. Then, trump explain that he respect to woman. He wants to make America safe again. From the Trump's comment, Clinton says that the video represent who he is.

The act of insulting in datum 7 is written in bold utterance. In that utterance, the addresser has threatened addressee's positive face. As explained in chapter two that basically everyone has positive face where positive face is the desire to respected, well thought of and so many others.

Datum 7

Trump : *You didn't delete them?*

Clinton : *They were personal emails and not official. We turned over 35,000.*

Trump : *What are about the other 15,000.*

Cooper : *Please allow her to respond. She didn't talk while you talked.*

Clinton : *Yes, that's true. I didn't*

Trump : *Because you had nothing to say.[7]*

Context:

Setting and scene : Dialogue above occurs in the Washington University when Trump and Hillary doing the second presidential debate

Participant : Clinton, Trum, Cooper

Act Sequence : Trump asks Hillary about her email
Hillary gives a answer
Trump gives a question about her email again
Cooper asks Trump to allow Hillary
Hillary gives a respond
Trump insult Hillary

The conversation above still debate about Clinton's email. Clinton says that the email is personal emails. Finally, Trump insults Clinton that "she had nothing to say".

The act of insulting in datum 8 is written in bold utterance. In that utterance, the addresser has threatened addressee's positive face. As explained in chapter two that basically everyone has positive face where positive face is the desire to be respected, well thought of and so many others. By stating that the addressee's claim that there is nothing to say of course it has damaged addressee's positive face to be respected because everyone wants he/she is always be right and successful. When someone said that he/she is fail means she/he is lack and it is contrary with his/her positive face.

Datum 8

***Clinton** : "Right. As I recall, that was something I said about Abraham Lincoln after having seen the wonderful Steven Spielberg movie called Lincoln. It was a master class watching president Lincoln get the congress to approve the 13th amendment. It was principled and it was strategic and I was making the point that it is hard, sometimes,....."*

***Raddatz** : "We will get to that later. Secretary Clinton, you are out of time."*

***Trump** : "I think I should respond because, so ridiculous. Look, now she is blaming —she got caught in a total lie. Her papers went out to all her friends at the banks, Goldman Sachs and everybody else....."[8]*

Context:

Setting and scene : Dialogue above occurs in the Washington University when Trump and Hillary doing the second presidential debate

Participant : Clinton, Trum, Raddatz

Act Sequence : Clinton gives a coimment about Abraham Lincoln

Raddatz asks Trump to give a respond

Trump gives a respond

The participant debates the question that “ is it okey for politicians to be two faced? “. Trump feels that Hillary is false because she is blaming.

The act of insulting in datum 9 is written in bold utterance. In that utterance, the addresser has threatened addressee’s positive face. By stating that the addressee’s says “she is baming claim” it has damaged addressee’s positive face to be respected because everyone wants he/she is always be right and successful.

Datum 9

***Clinton** : Well, here we go again. I have been in favor of getting rid of carried interest for years starting when I was a senator from New York. But that's not the point here.*

***Trump** : Why didn't you do it? Why didn't you do it?*

***Clinton** : Because I was a senator with a Republican president.*

***Trump** : You could have done it. If you were an effective senator, you could have done it. But you were not an effective senator.*

***Cooper** : Please allow her to respond. She didn't interrupt you.[9]*

Context:

Setting and scene : Dialogue above occurs in the Washington University when Trump and Hillary doing the second presidential debate

Participant : Clinton, Trum, Raddatz

Act Sequence : Clinton gives a respond about her work as a senator

Trump asks about Clinton’s job

Trump insult about Clinton’s work as a senator

In this debate, Trump threatened addressee’s positive face. It can be seen in Trump utterance of “*You could have done it. If you were an effective senator, you could have done it. But you were not an effective senator.*”

c. Disagreeing

Another FTA performed the contestant in the second US presidential debate is Disagreeing. The researcher has found five data includes in disagreeing face threatening acts.

Datum 10

Trump : “*That was locker room talk. I'm not proud of it. I am a person who has great respect for people, for my family, for the people of this country and certainly I am not proud of it, but that was something that happened. If you look at Bill Clinton, far worse. Mine are words and his was action. His words, what he has done to women. There’s never been anybody in the history of politics in this nation that has been so abusive to women. So you can say any way you want to say it, but Bill Clinton is abusive to women. Hillary Clinton attacked those same women, and attacked them viciously, four of them here tonight. One of the women.....*”

Raddatz : *Please hold the applause. Secretary Clinton, you have two minutes.*

Clinton : Well, first let me start by saying that so much of what he just said is not right, but he gets to run his campaign any way he chooses. He gets to decide what he wants to talk about instead of answering people's questions, talking about our agenda, laying out the plans that we have that we think can make a better life and a better country. That's his choice. When I hear something like that, I am reminded of what my friend Michelle Obama advised us all. When they go low, you go high.[10]

Context:

Setting and scene : Dialogue above occurs in the Washington University when Trump and Hillary doing the second presidential debate

Participant : Clinton, Trum, Raddatz

Act Sequence : Trump says about his word about woman
Raddatz asks Clinton to give opinion
Clinton claim that all of Trump declaration is not true

In this debate, Trump said that Bill Clinton abusove to woman. Bill Clinton more impolite to woman. He said that Hillary Clinton attacked those same woman. Clinton disagreeing with Trump said. She claims that all of Trump said is false.

The act of disagreeing in datum 11 is written in bold sentence. Disagreeing indicates that the speaker has negative evaluation of some aspects of his addressee's positive face. Everyone wants to be respected and well thought of by others. So, here the addresser has threatened addressee's positive face which includes in disagreeing act.

Datum 11

Raddatz : *Mr. Trump, let me repeat the question. If you were president, what would you do about Syria and the humanitarian crisis in Aleppo, and I want to remind you what your running mate said. He said provocations by Russia need to be met with American strength and that if Russia continues to be involved in airstrikes along with the Syrian government forces of Assad, the United States of America should be prepared to use military force to strike the military targets of the Assad regime.*

Trump : *Okay. He and I haven't spoken, and I disagree.[11]*

Context:

Setting and scene : Dialogue above occurs in the Washington University when Trump and Hillary doing the second presidential debate

Participant : Trum, Raddatz

Act Sequence : Raddatz gives question about Allepo
Trump gives a comment about it

Raddatz gives a question about Trump strategy for save Allepo. Trumps disagree with his mate comment. He directly said “*Okay. He and I haven't spoken, and I disagree*”. It means Trump say clearly that he disagree with his mate. It can be conclude that Trump convey the FTA throught act of disagreeing and from the act, the positive adreesee’s face was threaten because one of his positive politeness was not fulfilled.

Datum 12

Cooper : Secretary Clinton, does Mr. Trump have the discipline to be a good leader?

Clinton : No.

Trump : I'm shocked to hear that.[12]

Context:

Setting and scene : Dialogue above occurs in the Washington University when Trump and Hillary doing the second presidential debate

Participant : Clinton, Trum, Cooper

Act Sequence : Cooper asks Hillary's Argument about Donald
Hillary gives a respond
Trump shoocked with Hillary's respond

This situation above happened when Cooper asks Hillary's opinion about Donald. Clinton said that Trump does not have discipline to be good leader. She said " No". From the utterances, Clinton does not agree with Cooper question. Clinton threatad Cooper positive face by performing act of disagreeing.

Datum 13

Becker : My question to both of you is, regardless of the current rhetoric, would either of you name one positive thing that you respect in one another?

Raddatz : Mr. Trump, would you like to go first?

Clinton : *Well, I certainly will, because I think that's a very fair and important question. Well, I respect his children. His children are incredibly able and devoted and I think that says a lot about Donald. I don't agree with nearly anything else he says or does, but I do respect that and think that is something that as a mother and a grandmother is very important to me. So I believe that this election has.....”[13]*

Context:

Setting and scene : Dialogue above occurs in the Washington University when Trump and Hillary doing the second presidential debate

Participant : Clinton, Becker, Raddatz

Act Sequence : Backer gives a question to both of the candidates
Raddatz offers Trump to gives comment
Clinton gives a response quickly

Becker asks to each debaters about their presfektive on each other. Clinton give her opinion about Trump. She is very respect with his family but she does not agree with Trump says or does. She does agree by saying “*I don't agree with nearly anything else he says or does*”. From Clinton’s utterances it can be indicated that Clinton performs the act of disagreeing blatantly. So it can be assumed that Clinton do the FTA.

Datum 14

Raddatz : *Mr. Trump?*

Trump : *Well, I consider her statement about my children to be a very nice compliment. I don't know if it was meant to be a compliment, but it is a great... I'm proud of my children.*

*They have done a wonderful job and they have been wonderful, wonderful kids. So I consider that a compliment. I will say this about Hillary. She doesn't quit and she doesn't give up. I respect that. I tell it like it is. She is a fighter. **I disagree with much of what she is fighting for, I do disagree with her judgment in many cases, but she does fight hard and doesn't quit and she doesn't give up, and I consider that a very good trait.***

Raddatz : Thanks to both of you.[14]

Context:

Setting and scene : Dialogue above occurs in the Washington University when Trump and Hillary doing the second presidential debate

Participant : Trum, Raddatz

Act Sequence : Raddatz gives a question for Trump
Trump gives a comment

In this dialogue, Raddatz gives a question for Trump about their argument to another. After that he gives a comment to Hillary. He admits that Hillary doesn't give up and she is a fighter. Although he disagree about Hillary's do. He does agree by saying "***I disagree with much of what she is fighting for, I do disagree with her judgment in many cases***". From Trump's utterances it can be indicated that he performs the act of disagreeing blatantly. So it can be assumed that Trump do the FTA.

d. Criticizing

The next FTA performed the candidates is criticizing. The researcher has only found eight data containing criticizing as face threatening act which is done by the candidates in this research.

Datum 15

Raddatz : We want to get to some questions.

Trump : I'd like to respond to that. I assume I can.

Raddatz : Yes, you can respond to that.

*Trump : It's just words, folks. It's just words. These words, I have been hearing for many years. I heard them when they were running for the Senate in New York where Hillary was going to bring back jobs to upstate New York and she failed. I've heard them where Hillary is constantly talking about the inner cities of our country which are a disaster education-wise, job-wise, safety-wise, in every way possible. I'm going to help the African-Americans, I'm going to help the Latinos, hispanics. I am going to help the inner cities. She has done a terrible job for the African-Americans. **She wants their vote and she does nothing. And then she comes back four years later, we saw that firsthand when she saw United States senator, she campaigned where—***

Raddatz : Mr. Trump, Mr. Trump, I want to get to audience questions and online questions.[15]

Context:

Setting and scene : Dialogue above occurs in the Washington University when Trump and Hillary doing the second presidential debate

Participant : Trump, Raddatz

Act Sequence : Raddatz will move to next question from audience

Trump gives a critic about Hillary

In this debate, Trump gives a comment for Hillary. She said that Hillary does nothing. When she running for the senate in New York, she campaign to bring back jobs to upstate New York and she failed.

Datum 16

Raddatz : *You will get to respond right now. This tape is generating intense interest. In just 48 hours it has become the single most talked about story of the entire 2016 election on Facebook with millions and millions of people discussing it on the social network. As we said a moment ago, we do want to bring in questions from voters around the country via social media. And our first stays on this topic. Jeff from Ohio asks on Facebook, Trump says the campaign has changed him. When did that happen? So Mr. Trump, let me add to that, when you walked off that bus at age 59, were you a different man or did that behavior continue until just recently? And you have two minutes for this.*

Trump : *That was locker room talk. I'm not proud of it. I am a person who has great respect for people, for my family, for the people of this country and certainly I am not proud of it, but that was something that happened. **If you look at Bill Clinton, far worse. Mine are words and his was action. His words, what he has done to women. There's never been anybody in the history of politics in this nation that has been so abusive to women. So you can say any way you want to say it, but Bill Clinton is abusive to women. Hillary Clinton attacked those same women, and attacked them viciously, four of them here tonight. One of the women, who is a wonderful woman at 12 years old was raped. At 12. Her client, she represented, got him off and she is seen laughing on two occasions laughing at the girl who was raped. Kathy Shelton, that young woman, is here with us tonight. So don't tell me about words. I am,***

absolutely, I apologize for those words, but it is things that people say, but what President Clinton did, he was impeached, he lost his license to practice law, he had to pay an \$850,000 fine to one of the women. Paula Jones who is also here tonight. And I will tell you that when Hillary brings up a point like that and she talks about words that I said 11 years ago, I think it's disgraceful and I think she should be ashamed of herself, if you want to know the truth.[16]

Context:

Setting and scene : Dialogue above occurs in the Washington University when Trump and Hillary doing the second presidential debate

Participant : Trum, Raddatz

Act Sequence : Raddatz give question about Trum's video
Trump gives a respond

Raddatz gives a question about Trump's video. Then, Trump gives a comment. He criticize Bill Clinton. Bill Clinton is more abusive to woman than him. Trump said that Bill Clinton "far worse". This act of criticizing has threatened addressee's positive face because the addresser blamed the addressee for having done something badly. This act indicates that the addresser has negative evaluation of some aspects of his addressee's positive face.

Datum 17

Cooper : *Secretary Clinton, you are out of time. Mr. Trump, You have two minutes.*

Trump : *It is such a great question and it's maybe the question I get almost, more than anything else. Outside of defense. **Obamacare is a disaster.** You know it, we all know it. It's going up at numbers that nobody's ever seen, worldwide. It's-nobody has ever seen numbers like this for health care. It's only getting worse. In 17, it implodes by itself. Their method of fixing it is to go back and ask Congress for more money. More, more money and we have right now almost \$20 trillion in debt. . It's going to be one of the biggest line items very shortly. We have to repeal it and replace it with something absolutely much less expensive. And something that works, where your plan can actually be tailored. We have to get rid of the lines around the state, artificial lines, where we stop insurance companies from coming in and competing because they wanted President Obama and whoever was working on it, they want to leave those lines because that gives the insurance companies, essentially monopolies. We want competition. [17]*

Context:

Setting and scene : Dialogue above occurs in the Washington University when Trump and Hillary doing the second presidential debate

Participant : Trum, Cooper

Act Sequence : Cooper asks Trump to give a comment
Trump gives his opinion

Trump criticize Obamacare. Trump evaluates that Obamacare is very bad health insurance. It is too expensive for their country. He claim that Obamacarev is not efficient. This act of criticizing has threatened addressee's positive face because the addresser blamed the addressee for

having done something badly. This act indicates that the addresser has negative evaluation of some aspects of his addressee's positive face.

Datum 18

Cooper : Are you going to have a mandate that Americans have to have health insurance?

Trump : Excuse me. President Obama by keeping those lines, the boundary lines around each state and it was almost done until just towards the end of the passage of Obamacare. **Which by the way was a fraud. You know that. Because Jonathan Grouper, the architect of Obamacare was said, he said it was a great lie, it was a big lie.** President Obama said you keep your doctor, keep your plan. The whole thing was a fraud and it doesn't work. But when we get rid of those lines, you have competition and we will be able to keep preexisting, we'll also be able to help people that can't get, don't have money because we are going to have people protected. And Republicans feel this way. Believe it or not, we're going to block grant into the states we're going to block grant into medicaid so we will be able to take care of people without the necessary funds to take care of themselves.

Cooper : Thank you Mr. Trump. [18]

Context:

Setting and scene : Dialogue above occurs in the Washington University when Trump and Hillary doing the second presidential debate

Participant : Clinton, Cooper

Act Sequence : Cooper asks about health insurance
Trump gives an opinion

In this conversation, Trump gives a critic about health insurance in America. He thinks that is very bad. There are many lie in the program of insurance. This utterance is the act of threatening.

Datum 19

Raddatz : Mr. Trump, you're first.

*Trump : You are right about Islamophobia and that's a shame. But one thing we have to do is, we have to make sure that, because there is a problem. I mean, whether we like it or not and we can be politically correct, but whether we like it or not, there is a problem. And we have to be sure that Muslims come in and report when they see something going on. When they see hatred going on, they have to report it. As the example in San Bernardino. Many people saw the bombs all over the apartment of the two people that killed 14 and wounded many, many people. Horribly wounded, they will never be the same. Muslims have to report the problems when they see them. And, you know, there is always a reason for everything. If they don't do that, it's a very difficult situation for our country. Because you look at Orlando and you look at San Bernardino and you look at the World Trade Center. Go outside and you look at Paris, look at that horrible thing. **These are radical Islamic terrorists and she won't even mention the word and nor will President Obama. He won't use the term radical Islamic terrorist, no. To solve a problem you have to be able to state what the problem is or at least, say the name. She won't say the name and President Obama won't say the name. But the name is there. It's radical Islamic terror. And before you solve it, you have to say the name.***

Raddatz : Secretary Clinton. [19]

Context:

- Setting and scene : Dialogue above occurs in the Washington University when Trump and Hillary doing the second presidential debate
- Participant : Raddatz, Trump
- Act Sequence : Raddatz gives question about islamophobia
Trump gives an answer

In this conversation, Trump criticizes about radical Islamic terror in some places like in World Trade Center and Paris and so on. He criticize Obama that he does not says the name of it. Trump thinks that we must say the name of the radical Islamic terror. This act of criticizing has threatened Trump's positive face because Obama having done something badly. This act indicates that the addresser has negative evaluation of some aspects of his addressee's positive face.

Datum 20

Raddatz : Thank you, secretary Clinton. Mr. Trump, in December you said this: 'Donald J. Trump is calling if are a total and complete shut down of Muslims entering the United States until our country's representatives can figure out what the hell is going on. We have no choice. We have no choice.' Your running mate said the Muslim ban is no longer your position. Is that correct and if it is, was it a mistake to have a religious test?

Trump : First of all, Captain Khan is an American hero and if I were president at that time he would be alive today because, unlike her who voted for the war without knowing what she was doing, I would not have had our people in Iraq.

Iraq was a disaster. So he would have been alive today. The Muslim ban is something that in some form has morphed into an extreme vetting from certain areas of the world. Hillary Clinton wants to allow—[20]

Context:

Setting and scene : Dialogue above occurs in the Washington University when Trump and Hillary doing the second presidential debate

Participant : Raddatz, Trump

Act Sequence : Raddatz gives a question
Trump gives a respond

In this conversation shows FTA in form of criticizing. Trump as a speaker thinks that Clinton should does not allow moslem carelessly from Suriah enter America. In conversation above show that the Trump utterances is criticizing which is the acts threatening the negative addressee's face. Trump perform the FTA through on record strategy without redressive-action.

Datum 21

Cooper : *Actually on the topic of taxes, we have a question from Spencer Moss.*

Moss : *Good evening. My question is what specific tax provisions will you change to ensure the wealthiest Americans pay their fair share in taxes?*

Cooper : *Mr. Trump, you have two minutes.*

Trump : *One thing I'd do is get rid of carried interest. The—one of the greatest provisions for people like me, to be honest with you, I give up a lot when I run because I knockout the tax code. And she could have done this years ago, by the way. She is - she was a United States senator. She complains that Donald Trump took advantage of the tax code. Well, why didn't you change it, why didn't you change it when you were a senator? The reason you didn't is that all your friends take the same advantage that I do.....”[21]*

Context:

Setting and scene : Dialogue above occurs in the Washington University when Trump and Hillary doing the second presidential debate

Participant : Cooper, Moss, Trump

Act Sequence : Cooper asks Moss to gives a question
Moss gives a question
Cooper asks Trump to give an answer
Trump gives an answer

Utterance 21 is criticizing. Trump as a speaker answer Moss's question about specific tax provisions. Trump criticizes Clinton as a senator of America. She should change to cutting taxes. In this utterance indicates that Trump use the acts threatening the negative addressee's face, because Trump asked that “Well, why didn't you change it, why didn't you change it when you were a senator?”.

Datum 22

Carter : “My question is, do you believe you can be a devoted president to all the people in the United States.”

Cooper : “That question begins for Mr. Trump.”

Trump : “Absolutely. I mean, she calls our people deplorable. A large group. And irredeemable. I will be a president for all of our people. And I’ll be a people that will turn our inner cities around and will give strength to people and will give economics to people and will bring jobs back. **Because NAFTA, signed by her husband, is perhaps the greatest disaster trade deal in the history of the world. Not of this country. It stripped us of manufacturing jobs. We lost our jobs, we lost our money, we lost our plants. It is a disaster.....**”[22]

Context:

Setting and scene	: Dialogue above occurs in the Washington University when Trump and Hillary doing the second presidential debate
Participant	: Carter, Cooper, Trump
Act Sequence	: Carter gives a question Cooper asks Trump to give answer Trump gives an answer

Utterance 22 is criticizing. Trump as a speaker criticizes about NAFTA that signed by Clinton’s husband. Trump thinks that it is greatest disaster because the country answer lost many money. In this utterance indicates that Trump use the acts threatening the negative addressee’s face.

2.The formal realization of Face Threatening Acts used by the candidates in the Presidential Debate on October 09, 2016.

In the section below, each utterance of the above FTA is discussed in the structure of FTA formally realized. There are declarative sentence,

a. Accusing

Datum 1

Clinton : Everything he just said is absolutely false, but I'm not surprised.[1]

Pattern :

Conjunction + S + tobe + V + O + conjunction + S + V + O

In this conversation above, Clinton used declarative Sentence to deliver her FTA. Clinton stated that all of Trump's argument is false.

Datum 2

Trump : But she said a lot of things that were false. I think we should"[2]

Pattern :

Conjunction + S + tobe + V + O + conjunction + V + Adj

This utterance provides information to the listener because it is in the form of declarative sentence. The speaker declares to the listener by using Adj "false" it means that all of Clinton's says is not true.

Datum 3

Clinton : *And you know, Donald said he knows more about ISIS than the generals. No, he doesn't.*[3]

OU

HA

In this conversation, Clinton used declarative sentence to shows the accusing as FTA. Clinton used noun “No” that indicate which Trump does not know about ISIS generals.

Datum 4

Clinton : *It's just not true.* [4]

Pattern:

S + V + Adj

Utterance 6 consist of FTA as accusing. Here, the speaker uses subject “It” and followed by describer verb “is” to gives information. It’s mean that all of the opinion from the opposite is false. It is an the form of declarative sentence.

Datum 5

Clinton : *Well, everything you’ve heard from Donald is not true.*[5]

Pattern :

S + V + adj

Utterance 7 consist of FTA as accusing. Here, the speaker uses verb “is” to gives information. It’s mean that all of the opinion from the opposite is false. It is an the form of declarative sentence.

b. Insulting

Datum 6

Clinton : *We have seen him insult women. We have seen him rate women on their appearance, ranking them from one to ten, we've seen him embarrass women on TV and on Twitter.* [6]

In this conversation, the main utterance of her FTA by saying “*We have seen him insult women*”. And the next Clinton gives explanation more to inform about Trump’s ugliness. It indicates that Clinton used types of declarative sentence to deliver her FTA.

Datum 7

Trump : *Because you had nothing to say.*[7]

Pattern :

Con + S + V + O

Utterance 8 is FTA of insulting in the form realization of declarative sentence. Trump uses “Nothing” to insult Clinton.

Datum 8

Trump : *I think I should respond because, so ridiculous. Look, now she is blaming —she got caught in a total lie. Her papers went out to all her friends at the banks, Goldman Sachs and everybody else.* [8]

In this scene, Trump uttered “*so ridiculous*” as head act of FTA that followed by her explanation “*she is blaming*” to giving information to

Datum 12**Clinton : No.[12]**

Pattern : noun

Utterance 12 consist of FTA which in the form of declarative sentence. The speaker use noun “no”. It can indicates that she disagree that Trump is good leader.

Datum 13

Clinton : I don't agree with nearly anything else he says or does, but
HA
I do respect that and think that is something that as a mother and a grandmother is very important to me.[13]

In the conversation above, Trump used declarative sentence to deliver his FTA. Trump uses adj “don’t agree” to express his opinion about Clinton.

Datum 14

Trump : I disagree with much of what she is fighting for, I do disagree
HA
with her judgment in many cases, but she does fights hard and doesn't quit and she doesn't give up, and I consider that a very good trait.[14]

In the conversation above, Trump uttered his disagreeing by saying “disagree” to inform that he does not like Clinton. In his utterances indicate that Trump used declarative sentences to give information to everyone.

d. *Criticizing*

Datum 15

Trump : *She wants their vote and she does nothing. And then she comes back four years later, we saw that firsthand when she saw United States senator, she campaigned where.*^[15]

In this conversation, Trump deliver his criticizing by saying “does nothing”. This is a main utterances or head act of criticizing. Than Trump gives explanation more about his opinion. In this utterances showed that Trump used Declarative sentences.

Datum 16

Trump : *If you look at Bill Clinton, far worse. Mine are words and his was action. His words, what he has done to women. There’s never been anybody in the history of politics in this nation that has been so abusive to women. So you can say any way you want to say it, but Bill Clinton is abusive to women.*^[16]

In this scene, Trump deliver his criticizing by saying “far worse”. This is a main utterances or head act of criticizing. Than Trump gives explanation more about his opinion. In this utterances showed that Trump used Declarative sentences.

Datum 17

Trump : Obamacare is a disaster.[17]

Pattern :

S + V + noun

Utterance 19 also consists of declarative sentence. Trump as the speaker use V “is” as describer of Obamacare which a disaster.

Datum 18

*Trump : Which by the way was a fraud. You know that. Because
OU
Jonathan Grouper, the architect of Obamacare was said,
HA
he said it was a great lie, it was a big lie..[18]*

In this scene, Trump deliver his criticizing about Obamacare. This is a main utterances or head act of criticizing. In this utterances showed that Trump used Declarative sentences.

Datum 19

*Trump : These are radical Islamic terrorists and she won't even
HA
mention the word and nor will President Obama. He won't
use the term radical Islamic terrorist, no. To solve a
EX
problem you have to be able to state what the problem is or
at least, say the name. She won't say the name and
President Obama won't say the name. But the name is
there. It's radical Islamic terror. And before you solve it,
you have to say the name. [19]*

In this conversation, Trump uttered criticizes about radical Islamic terror. Trump used declarative sentence to criticizes about it. This utterance as a declarative sentence.

Datum 20

Trump : The Muslim ban is something that in some form has morphed into an extreme vetting from certain areas of the world. Hillary Clinton wants to allow—[20]

HA

Pattern :

S + V + adv

In this conversation, Trump uttered criticizes about Moslem ban. He thinks that we can not allow every refugee from another country. So, Trump used interrogative sentence to convince Clinton.

Datum 21

Trump : She is - she was a United States senator. She complains that Donald Trump took advantage of the tax code. Well, why didn't you change it, why didn't you change it when you were a senator? The reason you didn't is that all your friends take the same advantage that I do.[21]

EX

HA

In the conversation above, Trump used interrogative sentence to deliver his criticizing. Trump used interrogative sentence which the speaker asks why she does not change about the taxes.

Datum 22

Trump : Because NAFTA, signed by her husband, is perhaps the greatest disaster trade deal in the history of the world. Not of this country. It stripped us of manufacturing jobs. We lost our jobs, we lost our money, we lost our plants. It is a disaster.....”[22]

In this conversation, the main utterance of her FTA by saying “*Because NAFTA, signed by her husband, is perhaps the greatest disaster*”. From this utterance, it can indicate that it is Trump’s opinion about NAFTA. He uses the adj “disaster”. He claims that it is a big problem. It indicates that Trump used types of declarative sentence to deliver her FTA.

2. Politeness Strategies used by Candidates in the Second US Presidential Debate 2016

a. Positive Politeness Strategies

Positive politeness strategies are used to minimize the distance between speaker and hearer by expressing friendliness and solid interest in the hearer's need to be respected. The findings of positive politeness strategies performed by male Facebook users are described below based on the strategies used.

1. Exaggerate interest with hearer

The first positive politeness strategy is exaggerate interest with hearer. There are some data that belong to this strategy and it will be classified based on its sub-strategy as described below:

[1]

Raddatz : *You had many answer.*

Trump : ***It's very interesting.**[1]*

Context

Setting and scene : Dialogue above occurs in the Washington University when Trump and Hillary doing the second presidential debate

Participant : Raddatz, Trump

From the conversation above, Trump used positive politeness strategy. Raddatz gives a comment about Trump's answer. This response got a good response from Trump. Trump thinks that all of the question is very interesting. So he had many answer. Trump used exaggerating sympathy with hearer to perform positive politeness in the comment. The utterance ' *it is very interesting* ' is the expression of exaggeration. There, the speaker is successful in satisfying hearer's positive face.

[2]

Cooper : *We have one more question from Ken Bone about energy policy.*

Bone : *What steps will your energy policy take to meet our energy needs, while at the same time remaining environmentally-friendly and minimizing job loss for fossil power plant workers?*

Cooper : *Mr. Trump, two minutes.*

Trump : Such a great question because energy is under siege by the Obama administration.[2]

Context :

Setting and scene : Dialogue above occurs in the Washington University where Cooper as a moderator and Trump as a candidate of America's president.

Participant :Cooper, Bone, Trump

Act Sequence : Cooper asks to audience give a question
Bone gives a question
Trump answers the question

In this conversation, Trump used positive politeness strategy. Trump as stated in line 6, responded the question from Bone. His comment contained accolade about the question. By uttering that utterance, the speaker exaggerated sympathy to hearer. This deals with positive politeness strategy. By this strategy, it looks like they are cooperated.

[3]

Cooper : We have one more question from Ken Bone about energy policy.

Bone : What steps will your energy policy take to meet our energy needs, while at the same time remaining environmentally-friendly and minimizing job loss for fossil power plant workers?

Cooper : Mr. Trump, two minutes.

Trump : Such a great question because energy is under siege by the Obama administration.....”

Cooper : Your time is up. Secretary Clinton, two minutes.

Clinton : Well, that was very interesting. First of all, China is illegally dumping steel in the United States and Donald Trump is buying it to build his buildings, putting steelworkers and American steel plants out of business.[3]

Context :

Setting and scene : Dialogue above occurs in the Washington University where Cooper as a moderator and Trump as a candidate of America's president.

Participant : Cooper, Bone, Trump, Clinton

Act Sequence : Cooper asks to audience give a question
 Bone gives a question
 Trump answers the question
 Cooper asks Clinton to give a respond
 Clinton give answer

In this conversation Clinton responded the question from Bone. Her comment contained accolade about the question. Clinton said "*that was very interesting*". By uttering that utterance, the speaker exaggerated sympathy to hearer. This deals with positive politeness strategy. By this strategy, it looks like they are cooperated.

[4]

Brock : "Thank you and good evening. The last presidential debate could have been rated as MA, mature audiences per TV parental guidelines. Knowing that educators assign viewing the presidential debates as students' homework, do you feel you are modelling appropriate and positive behavior for today's youth?"

Clinton : "Thank you. Are you a teacher? Yes, I think that's a very good question because I heard from lots of teachers and

parents about some of our concerns about some of the things being said and done in this campaign.....”[4]

Context :

Setting and scene : Dialogue above occurs in the Washington University where Brock as an audience and Clinton as a candidate of America’s president.

Participant :Brock, Clinton

Act Sequence :Brock gives a question
Clinton answers the question

In this conversation Clinton responded the question from Brock. She appreciates with Brock’s question. Clinton said “*I think that's a very good question*”. By uttering that utterance, the speaker exaggerated sympathy to hearer. This deals with positive politeness strategy. By this strategy, it looks like they are cooperated.

2. Be optimistic

Besides exaggerate interest with hearer, the researcher found another positive politeness strategy. That is be optimistic. The following quoted conversations below are the example of be optimistic.

[5]

Raddatz : What would you do different than President Obama is doing?
Trump : Everything.[5]

Context :

Setting and scene : Dialogue above occurs in the Washington University and Trumps as a candidate of president

Participant :Raddatz and Trump
 Act Sequence :Raddatz asks the question to Trump
 Trump answers the question

In this scene, Trump delivered his positive politeness. Here is Trump's answer when Raddatz asks about his work after Obama. Trump used the word '*everything*' to ensure his opinion. So, he was so sure about it. It is a kind of positive politeness strategy by being optimistic.

3. Asking to stop doing activity

The next positive politeness in this research is asking to stop doing activity. There is only one data showing this strategy. This strategy will be classified based on its as described below:

[6]

Cooper: let her respond.

*Clinton:let's get to the issues that people care about tonight. **Let's get to their question.**[6]*

Context :

Setting and scene : Dialogue above occurs in the Washington University and Trumps and Hillary Clinton as a candidate of president

Participant :Cooper, Clinton

Act Sequence : Clinton asks to get the question

This statement written in bold utterance in datum 6 is stated by Clinton when the moderator asked Trump to heard Clinton. Clinton use

“Let’s” to responses them. This is a strategy of positive politeness by asking to stop doing activity.

4. Seek agreement

The next strategy is seek agreement. The following conversation is the example of expression of seek agreement as positive politeness strategy

[7]

Raddatz : What are do you think will happen if allepo falls

Trump : Aleppo is a disaster, humanitarian wise.

Raddatz : What will happen if it falls?

Trump : I think that it basically has fallen, okey?[7]

Context :

Setting and scene : Dialogue above occurs in the Washington University and Trumps and Hillary Clinton as a candidates of president

Participant : Trump, Raddatz

Act Sequence : Raddatz asked about Aleppo
Trump gives an answer

In this conversation, they talk about Aleppo. The moderator asked Trump about it. Trump gives a respond. In the end of his comment, Trump said ‘okey?’ to seek agreement from hearer about her opinion. This is a strategy of positive politeness by seeking agreement. It is aimed to satisfy hearer’s desire to be right. There, the distance between speaker and hearer will be broken.

Table 4.1 Types of Face Threatening Act performed by debaters

Types of Face Threatening Act performed by debaters				
No	Addressee's negative face	Addressee's positive face	Addresser's negative face	Addresser's positive face
1		Accusing		
2		Insulting		
3		Disagreeing		
4		Criticizing		
Frequency	-	22 times	-	

Table 4.2 Types of Politeness strategy

Types of Politeness strategy		
No	Positive politeness strategy	Negative politeness strategy
1	Exaggerate interest with hearer	
2	Be optimistic	
3	Asking to stop doing activity	
4	Seek agreement	
Frequency	7 times	