## CHAPTER IV

## RESEARCH FINDING AND DISCUSSION

## A. Research Finding

1. Presentation of Research Data

Subsequent to the study, both through questionnaires and tests, as the next step to be taken is the presentation of the data obtained. The data presented in the form of data that researchers are students' attitudes questionnaire scores and English test scores of students in the cognitive domain which in this case is represented by 60 students of class XI as the sample. Data are presented as the mean raw score in order to avoid the slightest mistake so that the results could be closer to the truth. Presentation of questionnaire scores is arranged in accordance with the variable that is the attitude of students and English language test scores of students. The presentation of the data the following results:
a. Student attitude questionnaire scores

The data obtained from the students 'attitude scores questionnaire containing statements about students' attitudes toward students subjects English. Total items are 23 questions. So that the lowest possible score is 23 and the student obtained the highest score
are 92. Attitude questionnaire scores student data from this study are:

Table 4.1

Data of attitude questionnaire scores of students at MAN Trenggalek

| No | Name | Score | No | Name | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | UK | 66 | 31 | SAY | 63 |
| 2 | UsK | 81 | 32 | RHS | 83 |
| 3 | RNS | 57 | 33 | RK | 61 |
| 4 | AM | 73 | 34 | IFN | 62 |
| 5 | N | 57 | 35 | IWY | 63 |
| 6 | NI | 62 | 36 | HF | 68 |
| 7 | IR | 60 | 37 | EW | 88 |
| 8 | ANRM | 66 | 38 | MVCD | 69 |
| 9 | INH | 57 | 39 | RPS | 69 |
| 10 | RS | 59 | 40 | NR | 62 |
| 11 | PL | 92 | 41 | AN | 72 |
| 12 | AS | 70 | 42 | ADP | 74 |
| 13 | TDN | 75 | 43 | ZWU | 72 |
| 14 | NAL | 71 | 44 | SR | 80 |
| 15 | MF | 84 | 45 | YN | 80 |
| 16 | NOS | 67 | 46 | FNF | 78 |
| 17 | DN | 65 | 47 | IAM | 65 |
| 18 | KK | 80 | 48 | LSM | 75 |
| 19 | EW | 69 | 49 | CN | 65 |
| 20 | RA | 73 | 50 | IR | 75 |
| 21 | UEP | 71 | 51 | AL | 81 |
| 22 | OAN | 66 | 52 | AN | 82 |
| 23 | CS | 68 | 53 | NM | 76 |
| 24 | WE | 63 | 54 | TU | 69 |
| 25 | DSP | 70 | 55 | SAW | 85 |
| 26 | MFR | 67 | 56 | ESS | 89 |
| 27 | YH | 83 | 57 | IPS | 64 |
| 28 | AWV | 89 | 58 | FDD | 81 |
| 29 | MYE | 60 | 59 | LA | 68 |
| 30 | RAAA | 79 | 60 | NKF | 76 |

Table 4.2
Score criteria of students' attitude

| Score | Criteria |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1-30$ | Low |
| $31-60$ | Medium |


| $61-92$ | High |
| :---: | :---: |

b. English language learning outcomes

In this study the result of learning English on the cognitive. Data values are taken directly obtained from tests given by the researcher. English language test score data of students from this study are:

Table 4.3

Data of achievement scores of students at MAN Trenggalek

| No | Name | Score | No |  | Name |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | UK | 77 | 16 | SAY | Score |
| 2 | UsK | 77 | 17 | RHS | 77 |
| 3 | RNS | 77 | 18 | RK | 66 |
| 4 | AM | 77 | 19 | IFN | 77 |
| 5 | N | 22 | 20 | IWY | 72 |
| 6 | NI | 72 | 21 | HF | 50 |
| 7 | IR | 44 | 22 | EW | 44 |
| 8 | ANRM | 66 | 23 | MVCD | 66 |
| 9 | INH | 77 | 24 | RPS | 50 |
| 10 | RS | 72 | 25 | NR | 44 |
| 11 | PL | 27 | 26 | AN | 66 |
| 12 | AS | 77 | 27 | ADP | 50 |
| 13 | TDN | 66 | 29 | IR | 22 |
| 14 | NAL | 77 | 30 | YN | 61 |
| 15 | MF |  | 50 |  |  |
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| No | Name | Score | No |  | Name |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 31 | SAY | 55 | 46 | FNF | 27 |
| 32 | RHS | 22 | 47 | IAM | 55 |
| 33 | RK | 50 | 48 | LSM | 61 |
| 34 | IFN | 50 | 49 | CN | 50 |
| 35 | IWY | 55 | 50 | IR | 77 |
| 36 | HF | 27 | 51 | AL | 44 |
| 37 | EW | 66 | 52 | AN | 44 |
| 38 | MVCD | 44 | 53 | NM | 77 |
| 39 | RPS | 72 | 54 | TU | 77 |
| 40 | NR | 27 | 55 | SAW | 38 |
| 41 | AN | 66 | 56 | ESS | 77 |
| 42 | ADP | 77 | 57 | IPS | 66 |
| 43 | ZWU | 77 | 58 | FDD | 22 |
| 44 | SR | 50 | 59 | LA | 55 |
| 45 | YN | 27 | 60 | NKF | 61 |

c. Hypothesis Test

To count the hypothesis the researcher used Spearman correlation technic. The result of correlation of student's attitude and student's English achievement was in the table below.

Table 4.4

## Data of Analysis

Correlations

|  |  | angket | tes |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Spearman's rho | angket | Correlation Coefficient | 1.000 | .019 |
|  |  | Sig. (2-tailed) | .888 |  |
|  | N | 60 | 60 |  |
|  | tes | Correlation Coefficient | .019 | 1.000 |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed) | .888 | . |  |
|  | N | 60 | 60 |  |

In this study df $=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Nr}=60-2=58$, apparently on the table "r" obtained Spearman df 58 rt 5\% = 0.259 and rt $1 \%=$
0.337 . Test of significance when $r \geq r$ table count $5 \%$ and $1 \%$, then the alternative hypothesis $(\mathrm{Ha})$ is accepted. Comparison $=r$ count $=0.019<r$ table $5 \%=0.259$ and $r=0.015$ count $<r$ table $1 \%=$ 0.337. Based on coefficients obtained showed very low values. But look at the value that indicates a positive value. Thus it can be said Ho is rejected.

## B. Discussion

Based on data analysis by SPSS calculations, the value of the coefficient 0.019 is very low values when the coefficient value should be more than 0,259 to got strong. Although its value is very low, but seeing that number is positive, it can be said that the two variables are attitudes and learning achievement have a positive correlation but low value.

Recalling Djamarah explanation of factors affecting student learning outcomes, the attitude is one of the internal factors and can affect the success of student learning outcomes. Based on the resulting coefficient values, it can be said that the results described in accordance Djamarah explanation. However, given the very low value generated it can be concluded that factor alone is not very strong attitudes affect student learning outcomes. Therefore to get high learning achievement students need to pay attention to other psychological factors such as motivation, memory, habits, comfort and other factors.

Based from previous studies belongs to M. Jainuri coefficient value is $0.492>0.468$ ( $r$ table). The coefficient values indicate a positive correlation and significant between attitude and academic achievement. Almost identical to this study, although the value of the coefficient in this study said to be low, but positive values concluded that there is a positive correlation between attitudes with student learning achievement. So the results of both studies are consistent with the Djamarah explanation.

