

FROM SPIRITUAL AUTHORITY TO CONFLICT RESOLUTION: FAITH INSTITUTIONS AS FACILITATORS OF RESTORATIVE JUSTICE

Iffatin Nur^{1*}, Budi Kolistiawan², Reni Dwi Puspitasari³, Indri Hadisiswati⁴

^{1,2,3,4}, UIN Sayyid Ali Rahmatullah Tulungagung

Iffatin@uinsatu.ac.id

Article History:

Received: 03-06-2026

Revised: 19-06-2026

Accepted: 04-08-2026

Keywords:

Asset-Based Community Development, Conflict Transformation, Faith-based Mediation, Mosque-based Mediation Corner, Theologically Grounded Peacebuilding.

Abstract:

Conflict is inherent to plural societies, but the rise in court disputes in Indonesia has led to judicial overcapacity, emphasising the need for local alternative dispute resolution models. This study explores the Mosque-Based Mediation Corner, an innovative community peacebuilding initiative that transforms mosques into inclusive spaces for empathetic dialogue. Anchored in Islamic principles of *shūrā* (consultation), *‘adl* (justice), and *ukhummah* (brotherhood), the project aims to restore the mosque’s role as a social and spiritual hub. Utilising a qualitative approach based on Asset-Based Community Development (ABCD), the research identifies spiritual authority, human resources, and trust as critical social capital for peaceful coexistence. The implementation of the Mediation Corner at Masjid Baitul Hakim of UIN Sayyid Ali Rahmatullah Tulungagung demonstrates how religious institutions can offer accessible, non-litigative dispute resolution. Findings reveal that integrating empathy, inclusivity, and restorative dialogue enhances community cohesion and prevents conflict escalation, highlighting faith institutions’ potential to foster harmony, justice, and social sustainability in multicultural contexts. To build upon this model, future research should investigate its scalability and adaptability across different multi-religious and multicultural contexts to assess its potential for broader social sustainability.

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, Indonesia has witnessed a steady increase in social and civil disputes, reflecting both the dynamism and the fragility of its multicultural society. According to the Supreme Court of Indonesia, more than 2,7 million cases were filed across religious and state courts in 2021 alone (Supreme Court of the Republic of Indonesia, 2022), leading to an alarming case overload that undermines judicial efficiency and public trust (Rajib, 2025). The overcapacity of the judiciary, where a single judge may handle twice the recommended number of cases per year (Samiri et al., 2025), reveals a critical need for alternative, community-oriented mechanisms of conflict resolution. In this context, mediation has gained recognition as an effective and restorative approach capable of delivering faster, more empathetic, and less adversarial outcomes compared to formal litigation.

From an anthropological and legal perspective, conflict is not merely a legal problem but a social phenomenon that reflects the dynamic interactions within diverse communities (Budd et al., 2019). Yet, litigation tends to transform these interactions into adversarial contests that may fracture relationships and entrench social disharmony. Mediation,

conversely, seeks reconciliation through communication, empathy, and mutual understanding (Ngcobo, 2024). It resonates with Indonesia's cultural preference for *shūrā* (deliberation/consultation) and *ijmā'* (consensus), values that are deeply rooted in both traditional and Islamic worldviews. Within this framework, the Mosque-Based Mediation Corner initiative emerges as an innovative model that situates conflict resolution within the spiritual and communal life of the mosque.

Historically, the mosque has played a central role in Muslim societies not only as a place of worship but also as a venue for social interaction, education, and governance (Khikmawati, 2020; Rifa'i, 2022). Classical Islamic history records the Prophet Muhammad's mosque in Medina as a locus of consultation, dispute settlement, and communal decision-making. Over time, however, this integrative function has diminished, with mosques often confined to ritual purposes. Revitalising the mosque as a Mediation Corner, therefore, seeks to restore its holistic role as both a spiritual sanctuary and a community institution that nurtures harmony, justice, and empathy.

The Mediation Corner project at Masjid Baitul Hakim of UIN Sayyid Ali Rahmatullah, Tulungagung, represents a practical embodiment of this vision. It was conceived as a platform for dialogue and peaceful dispute resolution involving diverse stakeholders—religious leaders, youth organisations, legal mediators, and local communities. Adopting an Asset-Based Community Development (ABCD) approach, the initiative builds upon the community's inherent capacities rather than external interventions. It mobilises existing human and spiritual resources, such as the credibility of mosque leaders, the trust of congregants, and the mosque's moral authority, to create an inclusive mediation environment that resonates with Islamic values of justice (*ʿadl*), compassion (*rahmah*), and empathy (*taʾāwun*).

Beyond its practical function, the mosque-based mediation model carries profound theological and ethical significance. The Qur'an commands believers to reconcile disputing parties with fairness and sincerity (Surah Al-Ḥujurat [49]:9), underscoring the sacred duty of peacemaking as an act of worship. The Prophet Muhammad also emphasised the virtue of reconciliation (*iṣlah*), declaring it superior to voluntary fasting and prayer, because it restores social harmony (Imam Bukhari, 2005). Grounded in these teachings, the Mediation Corner functions not only as an alternative dispute resolution mechanism but as a means of realising social sustainability, the preservation of peace, trust, and moral balance within the community.

As societies confront increasing fragmentation and polarisation, the need for empathetic, inclusive, and justice-oriented dialogue becomes more urgent. The Mosque-Based Mediation Corner thus contributes to the broader discourse on religion and sustainability by demonstrating how faith-based institutions can serve as catalysts for peaceful coexistence. This study explores the process of establishing the Mediation Corner, the community engagement strategies underpinning its success, and its implications for sustainable social development. Through this initiative, the mosque reclaims its timeless role as a unifying force—bridging divides, healing social wounds, and fostering a culture of dialogue grounded in faith and humanity.

METHOD

This study employed a qualitative approach within the framework of Asset-Based Community Development (ABCD) to explore the initiation and implementation of the Mosque-Based Mediation Corner. The ABCD model prioritises community assets—such as human potential, religious authority, and local wisdom—over external assistance, viewing communities as agents of transformation rather than objects of intervention (Harrison et al., 2019; Kretzmann & McKnight, 1993). This approach was selected because it aligns with the Islamic principle of empowerment (*tamkin*) and collective responsibility (*mas'uliyah ijtimā'iyyah*),

both of which emphasise local participation and sustainability.

Research Design

The research took place at Masjid Baitul Hakim of Universitas Islam Negeri (UIN) Sayyid Ali Rahmatullah, Tulungagung, Indonesia. The mosque was chosen as the study site due to its strategic role as a campus-based religious and social hub that attracts diverse participants, including academics, students, and local residents. The study was designed to examine how the mosque's physical and spiritual space could be transformed into a Mediation Corner that embodies the principles of empathetic dialogue, inclusivity, and justice.

The data collection process consisted of four main phases:

1. Preliminary Assessment and Asset Mapping – identifying community assets such as human resources (legal mediators, religious leaders, and youth groups), physical spaces within the mosque, and existing social networks.
2. Small Group Discussions (SGDs) – involving key stakeholders, including members of the Faculty of Sharia and Law, the Faculty of Ushuluddin and Da'wah, mosque administrators (Takmir Masjid), and representatives of the Indonesian Mosque Youth Communication Agency (Badan Komunikasi Pemuda Remaja Masjid Indonesia/BKPRMI).
3. Forum Group Discussions (FGDs) – held to develop a shared understanding of mediation principles, contextual challenges, and implementation strategies (Jauhari et al., 2023).
4. Community Empowerment Sessions – designed as participatory training workshops focusing on mediation techniques, empathetic communication, and handling complaints constructively.

Participants

Participants consisted of 25 individuals representing multiple sectors: legal mediators, advocates, mosque leaders, university lecturers, and local community figures. The diversity of participants was essential to ensure that the initiative reflected a cross-sectoral understanding of conflict resolution and community empowerment. Gender inclusion was also prioritised by involving female mediators and youth representatives, ensuring that the Mediation Corner addressed the needs and voices of all community members.

Data Collection and Analysis

Participants Data were collected through semi-structured interviews, focus group discussions, and document analysis of training materials, meeting minutes, and policy drafts related to the establishment of the Mediation Corner. Field observations were conducted during both preparatory and implementation stages to capture the dynamics of dialogue and community participation.

Thematic analysis was used to interpret the data, following Braun and Clarke's (2006) six-step approach: familiarisation, coding, theme generation, theme review, definition, and reporting. Key themes identified included: (1) restorative dialogue and empathy, (2) inclusive participation, and (3) religious legitimacy and social trust. These themes were then synthesised to illustrate how the Mediation Corner operationalises faith-based principles to achieve sustainable conflict resolution.

The theoretical framework for this study integrates three complementary perspectives: empowerment theory, conflict transformation theory, and social consensus theory. Empowerment theory, as articulated by Zimmerman (2020), provides a lens through which to understand how the Mediation Corner initiative enhances individual and community capacity to address conflicts constructively. This framework emphasises the importance of developing skills, knowledge, and confidence among community members, enabling them to become agents of positive change rather than passive recipients of external interventions.

Conflict transformation theory, developed by Lederach (2017), offers a deeper understanding of how the Mosque-Based Mediation Corner addresses not only the immediate dispute but also the underlying relationships and structures that contribute to conflict. Unlike traditional conflict resolution approaches that focus primarily on reaching agreements, conflict transformation seeks to change the patterns of interaction and perception that give rise to disputes, creating more sustainable peace.

Social consensus theory, drawing on the work of Durkheim and Parsons, provides insights into how shared values and norms facilitate cooperative approaches to conflict resolution. In the context of the mosque-based initiative, this theory helps explain how Islamic principles create a foundation of shared understanding that enables disputing parties to find common ground despite their differences.

RESULTS

Establishment and Operationalisation of the Mediation Corner

The primary result of this research was the successful establishment of a functional Mediation Corner within the mosque premises at Masjid Baitul Hakim of UIN Sayyid Ali Rahmatullah, Tulungagung. This involved designating a specific, neutral space within the mosque conducive to private and respectful dialogue. The physical transformation of this space represented more than mere spatial reorganisation; it symbolised the reimagining of the mosque's role in contemporary society. As documented through participant observation, the space was carefully designed to balance accessibility with privacy, featuring semi-private consultation areas that allowed for confidential discussions while maintaining the spiritual atmosphere of the mosque.

Beyond the physical space, the programme developed a comprehensive operational framework. This included:

1. Procedural guidelines for case intake: A structured system for receiving, documenting, and prioritising cases based on urgency and complexity
2. Mediation session management protocols: Clear procedures for conducting mediation sessions, including opening statements, information gathering, negotiation, and agreement formulation
3. Documentation templates: Standardised forms for recording mediation processes and outcomes, ensuring consistency and legal validity of peace agreements
4. Referral mechanisms: Procedures for referring cases beyond the scope of community mediation to appropriate formal institutions

This formal structure transformed the mosque from a purely religious site into a multi-purpose community hub for social services, particularly conflict resolution. The establishment of this Mediation Corner represents a significant innovation in community-based dispute resolution, particularly in the Indonesian context, where religious institutions hold substantial social capital.

Community Empowerment through Mediator Training and Certification

A central outcome of the programme was the empowerment of community members through intensive training and certification processes. A cohort of 25 individuals, including mosque caretakers (Takmir Masjid), mosque youths (Remaja Masjid), legal mediators, advocates, and university lecturers, participated in a structured training programme designed to equip them with both theoretical knowledge and practical skills in mediation.

The curriculum covered multiple domains:

1. Communication skills: Active listening, nonviolent communication techniques, and cultural sensitivity in dialogue
2. Negotiation techniques: Interest-based bargaining, principled negotiation, and creative problem-solving approaches
3. Conflict analysis: Understanding root causes of conflicts, identifying stakeholders, and mapping power dynamics
4. Ethics of mediation: Confidentiality, impartiality, and cultural appropriateness in mediation practice
5. Theological foundations: Islamic principles of justice, reconciliation, and community harmony

The training employed multiple methodologies, including lectures, role-playing, case studies, and peer feedback sessions. Participants demonstrated significant growth in their mediation capabilities, as evidenced by pre- and post-training assessments. Crucially, the programme was designed not just to provide knowledge but to produce certified mediators through a formal assessment process. This certification provided participants with official recognition, enhancing their credibility and confidence to handle real disputes.

This empowerment process had multiple dimensions:

1. Individual empowerment: Participants gained valuable skills and knowledge, increasing their self-efficacy and community standing
2. Collective empowerment: The mosque community developed internal capacity to address conflicts without external intervention
3. Institutional empowerment: The mosque established itself as a legitimate dispute resolution center within the broader community.

Integration of Theological Principles into Mediation Practice

The program's defining result was the successful integration of Islamic theological principles into the mediation process. Unlike secular Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) models, this initiative framed mediation as a religious and moral duty rooted in Islamic tradition. The training curriculum explicitly incorporated Quranic verses and Prophetic traditions that emphasise reconciliation (*iṣlah*), consultation (*shūrā*), and justice (*ʿadl*).

Key theological principles integrated included:

1. Surah Al-Hujurat [49]:9 (Ali, 2016): This verse commands believers to make peace between conflicting parties, serving as the foundational mandate for mediators
2. Hadith on reconciliation: The tradition stating “there is nothing more virtuous before Allah than reconciling between two parties” (Imam Bukhari, 2005) was used to inspire and motivate participants
3. Principles of *ʿadl* (justice): Ensuring fairness and equity in all mediation processes
4. Concept of *ukhuwwah* (brotherhood): Emphasising community bonds and mutual responsibility
5. Value of *shūrā* (consultation): Promoting inclusive decision-making and collective wisdom

This theological grounding gave the mediation process profound moral authority within the community, positioning the mediator not just as a neutral facilitator but as an agent of a higher spiritual purpose. As one participant noted, “*Resolving conflict in a mosque reminds us that peace is a form of worship — it’s not just a legal matter, but a moral and spiritual duty.*”

The integration of theology was not merely theoretical but manifested in practical mediation techniques:

1. Opening sessions with prayers for guidance and wisdom
2. Incorporating ethical teachings during negotiations
3. Framing agreements in terms of religious responsibility
4. Concluding with expressions of gratitude and reconciliation.

Theological Foundations as Motivational Framework

A significant finding emerged regarding the role of theological principles not only as guiding values but as motivational factors for both mediators and disputing parties. Participants frequently referenced Islamic teachings when explaining their commitment to the mediation process. One mediator noted, *“When I remind parties that reconciliation is considered more virtuous than voluntary fasting and prayer in our tradition, it changes their entire approach to the conflict.”*

This theological motivation manifested in several observable ways:

1. Voluntary Participation: Unlike court-mandated mediation, participants in the Mosque-Based Mediation Corner voluntarily engaged in the process, often citing religious duty as their primary motivation.
2. Commitment to Agreements: The spiritual framing of agreements as sacred commitments rather than merely legal contracts resulted in higher compliance rates compared to secular mediation approaches.
3. Transformative Language: Disputing parties frequently employed religious concepts when describing their resolution journey, suggesting that the process had impacted not only their external dispute but also their internal spiritual orientation.

The integration of theological principles extended beyond Islamic teachings to include universal spiritual values that resonated with participants from diverse backgrounds. This inclusive approach to spirituality, while rooted in Islamic tradition, created space for non-Muslim participants to find meaning in the process, enhancing the Mediation Corner's accessibility and effectiveness within pluralistic communities.

Creation of a Trusted and Accessible Alternative to Litigation

The combined effect of establishing a physical space, empowering local mediators, and grounding the process in theology resulted in the creation of a highly trusted and accessible alternative to litigation. Community members gained a viable option to resolve disputes within a familiar, non-threatening environment. The mosque, as a respected institution, lent immediate legitimacy and confidentiality to the process, which was particularly valuable in small, close-knit communities where privacy concerns often deter formal dispute resolution.

Qualitative data from participant interviews revealed several key benefits of this approach:

1. Accessibility: The mosque-based location was physically and psychologically accessible to community members
2. Affordability: Services were provided free of charge, removing financial barriers to justice
3. Cultural appropriateness: The process aligned with local cultural values and Islamic principles
4. Efficiency: Cases were typically resolved more quickly than through formal court processes
5. Relationship preservation: The focus on reconciliation rather than judgment helped maintain community relationships

While the programme was in its pilot phase and quantitative data on case resolution were still being gathered, the qualitative results demonstrated a significant shift in the community's perception of conflict resolution. The Mediation Corner offered a pathway that prioritised relationship restoration and social harmony over the win-lose dichotomy of the courts, aligning with local cultural preferences for amicable settlement and deliberation

Empathy and Restorative Dialogue as Core Values

The implementation of the Mediation Corner demonstrated that empathetic communication was central to conflict transformation. Mediators were trained to practice Nonviolent Communication (Rosenberg, 2015), which emphasises active listening, emotional awareness, and compassionate response. This method allowed disputing parties to feel heard without fear of judgment, creating an atmosphere conducive to trust and reconciliation.

A key outcome was the adoption of restorative justice principles (Zehr, 2021), shifting the focus from punishment to healing. Disputes were no longer perceived as violations but as opportunities to repair social relationships. As a result, parties were more willing to collaborate and accept joint responsibility, promoting sustainable peace within the community.

The emphasis on empathy manifested in several observable practices:

1. Mediators demonstrating genuine concern for all parties' well-being
2. Creating space for emotional expression and validation
3. Using storytelling to help parties understand each other's perspectives
4. Encouraging forgiveness and reconciliation as spiritual values.

Inclusivity and Gender Participation

The Mediation Corner incorporated inclusivity as both a guiding principle and a practical strategy. Women's participation in mediation activities was actively encouraged, with female students and lecturers serving as assistant mediators. This inclusive framework ensured that gender perspectives were integrated into the dialogue process. Female participants reported feeling empowered to contribute their insights, particularly in cases involving family disputes or interpersonal misunderstandings, where empathy and communication were vital.

The inclusive approach extended beyond gender to include:

1. Age diversity: Involvement of both youth and elders ensured intergenerational perspectives
2. Socioeconomic inclusion: Participation from various socioeconomic backgrounds prevented elite capture
3. Religious diversity: While grounded in Islamic principles, the mediation was open to all community members
4. Neurodiversity consideration: Training included awareness of different communication styles and needs.

This inclusivity enhanced the legitimacy and effectiveness of the Mediation Corner, ensuring it served the entire community rather than a select segment.

Communication Dynamics in Mediation Sessions

Analysis of mediation sessions revealed distinct communication patterns that contributed to successful outcomes. Unlike the adversarial communication style typical of litigation proceedings, mosque-based mediation employed a dialogical approach *characterised* by:

1. Narrative Sharing: Participants were encouraged to share personal narratives rather than merely presenting legal arguments, creating empathy and understanding between parties.

2. Spiritual Framing: Communication often incorporates spiritual concepts and language, reframing conflicts as opportunities for spiritual growth rather than merely problems to be solved.
3. Nonviolent Communication Techniques: The training in Rosenberg's (2015) nonviolent communication methods resulted in dialogue patterns that emphasised feelings and needs rather than accusations and demands.

These communication dynamics align with what Boule (2019) describes as "transformative dialogue," where the process of communication itself becomes a mechanism for change rather than merely a vehicle for exchanging information. The spiritual context of the mosque enhanced this transformative quality, creating what participants described as "blessed conversations" that felt qualitatively different from secular dispute resolution processes.

DISCUSSION

The Mosque-Based Mediation Corner represents a transformative model of religiously grounded peacebuilding that merges theological principles with community empowerment. By reactivating the mosque's social function, the initiative contributes to social sustainability, which encompasses justice, empathy, inclusivity, and mutual respect — essential dimensions of sustainable societies (United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs, 2009; UN, 2015).

Theological Underpinnings of Sustainable Peace

The results of this study illuminate how Islamic theological principles provide a robust foundation for sustainable peacebuilding through mediation. The integration of Quranic and Prophetic teachings transformed mediation from a technical process into a spiritually meaningful practice. This aligns with Abu-Nimer's (2003) research on faith-based peacebuilding, which demonstrates that religious values can serve as powerful resources for conflict transformation when properly interpreted and applied.

The concept of *islah* (reconciliation) in Islamic tradition extends beyond mere conflict resolution to encompass restoration of right relationships and social harmony. As noted in the findings, mediators framed their work not as a secular technique but as a sacred act of worship and social responsibility. This theological grounding resonates with Lawton's (2020) work on Theological Mediation and Peacebuilding, which argues that faith-based mediation addresses not only the practical aspects of conflict but also the spiritual and emotional wounds that disputes create.

The emphasis on *shūrā* (consultation) reflects democratic principles deeply embedded in Islamic tradition. By creating spaces for inclusive dialogue and collective decision-making, the Mediation Corner operationalised this principle in ways that both honored tradition and addressed contemporary needs. This approach aligns with Sachedina's (2009) argument that Islamic principles of consultation and consensus can contribute to modern democratic practices when properly contextualised.

Empowerment Theory in Practice

The Mediation Corner initiative represents a compelling application of empowerment theory, which Zimmerman (2020) defines as the process whereby individuals and groups develop the capacity to recognise, understand, and address the challenges they face. The programme successfully addressed multiple dimensions of empowerment:

1. Individual Empowerment: Participants developed concrete skills in communication, negotiation, and mediation. This training built their self-confidence and equipped them to

make decisions and take action in conflict situations, transforming them from passive observers into active problem-solvers. This transformation reflects what Rappaport (2010) describes as “gaining control over resources that influence one’s life.”

2. Community Empowerment: The mosque, as a collective, was empowered by establishing a formal mechanism to address its internal disputes. The Mediation Corner became a space for collective action, improving the community’s ability to manage its own affairs and maintain harmony without external intervention. This aligns with Kretzmann and McKnight’s (1993) Asset-Based Community Development approach, which emphasises building on existing community strengths rather than focusing on deficits.
3. Social Empowerment: On a broader level, the programme challenged the dominant litigation-oriented culture. By promoting a successful alternative, it encouraged a shift in the public mindset and advocated for a more just and efficient social order. This dimension of empowerment connects to Freire’s (2003) concept of conscientisation, where communities develop critical awareness of social structures and work to transform them.

Conflict Transformation Beyond Resolution

The program’s impact extends beyond simple conflict resolution into the realm of conflict transformation. While traditional ADR aims to settle a specific dispute, conflict transformation, as theorised by Lederach (2017), seeks to change the underlying structures and relationships that give rise to conflict. The mosque-based model achieved this in several ways:

1. Relationship Transformation: By using a trusted, faith-based setting and principles of reconciliation, the process inherently focused on repairing relationships rather than simply assigning blame. This aligns with the core of conflict transformation, which prioritises improving communication, understanding, and cooperation between parties. The emphasis on empathy and restorative dialogue reflects what Lederach (2017) describes as “the relational dimension of peacebuilding.”
2. Structural Change: On a micro-level, the programme altered the power structure of dispute resolution. It shifted power from formal state institutions (courts) back to the community. It addressed the structural injustice of an overburdened justice system that fails to deliver timely or satisfactory outcomes for ordinary citizens. This structural dimension of transformation connects to Galtung’s (1996) concept of positive peace, which involves not just the absence of direct violence but also the presence of social justice.
3. Cultural Transformation: By grounding mediation in Islamic principles while incorporating modern techniques like nonviolent communication, the programme facilitated a creative synthesis of tradition and innovation. This cultural transformation demonstrates how religious values can be interpreted in ways that address contemporary needs without losing their essential character.

Faith-Based Empowerment and Local Ownership

The ABCD approach ensured that the project emerged organically from the community’s internal capacities. This method resonates with Islamic notions of self-reliance (*istiqlāl*) and collective responsibility, promoting sustainable change by engaging local actors as co-creators. The empowerment of Takmir members and youth groups symbolised a shift from dependency towards participatory governance rooted in faith (Zulfa, 2015).

This approach contrasts with top-down development models that often create dependency and undermine local initiative. By identifying and mobilising existing community assets — such as the mosque’s spiritual authority, human resources, and social networks — the programme built sustainable capacity rather than temporary solutions. This aligns with what Chambers (1994) describes as “putting the last first” in development practice.

The local ownership of the Mediation Corner enhanced its legitimacy and effectiveness. As one participant noted, “*This is not something imposed from outside but something that grew from within our community.*” This sense of ownership increased commitment to the project’s success and ensured its sustainability beyond the initial implementation period.

Social Consensus Theory and Community Cohesion

The Mosque-Based Mediation Corner demonstrates how social consensus theory operates in practice within religious communities. Durkheim’s concept of collective conscience helps explain how shared religious values create a framework for resolving disputes that reinforces rather than disrupts social cohesion (Howson, 2021). The mosque, as a sacred space, embodies what Parsons (2013) describes as an “institutionalised pattern of value-orientation,” providing a context where conflicting parties can find common ground despite their differences.

This approach contrasts sharply with litigation, which often exacerbates social divisions by emphasising competing rights and interests rather than shared values. In the Indonesian context, where maintaining social harmony is a cultural imperative, the mosque-based mediation approach aligns with what Geertz (2017) describes as the “search for consensus” that characterises Javanese dispute resolution traditions. The integration of Islamic principles with local cultural values creates what might be termed a “theology of harmony,” where religious teachings provide the foundation for practical approaches to maintaining social equilibrium.

The Mediation Corner’s success in building social consensus stems from its ability to operationalise abstract theological principles into concrete communication practices. For example, the concept of *shūrā* is translated into specific dialogue techniques that ensure all voices are heard and respected. Similarly, the principle of *‘adl* informs not only the outcomes of mediation but also the process itself, ensuring that procedures are perceived as fair by all participants. This alignment between process and values creates what Rawls (1991) describes as “procedural justice,” enhancing the legitimacy and acceptability of outcomes.

Empathy as a Spiritual Practice

Empathy (*ta’atuf*) within mediation reflects a spiritual dimension often absent in formal dispute resolution. Inspired by Rosenberg’s (2015) concept of nonviolent communication, the mediators’ emphasis on understanding and emotional presence echoes the prophetic tradition of compassion (*rahmah*). This demonstrates how emotional intelligence can serve as both a spiritual and practical tool for conflict transformation.

The integration of empathy as both a technique and a spiritual practice represents a significant innovation in mediation approaches. It moves beyond the rational-legal model of dispute resolution toward a more holistic approach that addresses both head and heart. This aligns with Bush and Folger’s (2004) argument that effective conflict transformation requires addressing both cognitive and affective dimensions of human experience.

The spiritual dimension of empathy also connects to broader Islamic teachings on compassion and mercy. As noted in the findings, mediators often framed their empathetic approach as an expression of Islamic values rather than a secular technique. This theological framing gave their work deeper meaning and motivation, enhancing their commitment and effectiveness.

Inclusivity and Gender Justice

The inclusion of women and marginalised voices in the mediation process reflects a Qur’anic commitment to justice and equality, as mentioned in the Qur’an Surah Al-Nahl [16]:90 (Ali, 2016). By providing safe and equitable participation spaces, the Mediation Corner embodied an inclusive form of Islamic social ethics that challenges patriarchal barriers while

strengthening the legitimacy of religious-based initiatives in modern society.

This gender-inclusive approach is particularly significant in the Indonesian context, where women often face barriers to participation in formal dispute resolution mechanisms. By training and certifying female mediators, the programme created new opportunities for women's leadership in community peacebuilding. This aligns with Abu-Nimer's (2003) observation that inclusive peace processes are more likely to produce sustainable outcomes.

The emphasis on inclusivity extended beyond gender to embrace other dimensions of diversity. By creating spaces where different voices could be heard and valued, the Mediation Corner modeled the kind of inclusive community it sought to create. This approach reflects what Lederach (2017) describes as "the critical role of inclusive participation in sustainable peacebuilding."

Religion, Sustainability, and Social Harmony

In line with the UN's SDGs, this project broadens the notion of sustainability beyond ecological concerns to include moral and relational ecosystems. Just as environmental balance requires stewardship, social sustainability demands justice, empathy, and solidarity. The Mediation Corner thus represents a form of "spiritual ecology" — cultivating an environment where relationships are restored, trust is renewed, and collective wellbeing is sustained.

This broader understanding of sustainability connects to the work of scholars like Palmer and Finlay (2003), Ives and Kidwell (2019), and Koehrsen and Ives (2025), who argue that religious traditions offer valuable resources for imagining and creating sustainable societies. By grounding conflict resolution in Islamic principles of justice, compassion, and consultation, the Mediation Corner contributed to social sustainability in ways that secular approaches alone might not achieve.

The emphasis on social harmony also reflects Indonesian cultural values of *shūrā* and *ijma'*. By blending these cultural values with Islamic principles, the Mediation Corner created a culturally resonant approach to conflict transformation. This cultural and religious grounding enhanced its legitimacy and effectiveness within the local context.

Communication Theory and Theological Mediation

The communication dynamics observed in the Mosque-Based Mediation Corner can be understood through the lens of communication theory, particularly the Barnlund model of communication as a dynamic, transactional process (Barnlund, 2008). Unlike the linear Shannon-Weaver model, which positions communication as mere transmission of information, the transactional approach recognises how all participants simultaneously send and receive messages, creating shared meaning through interaction.

In the context of theological mediation, this transactional model helps explain how spiritual values become operationalised through dialogue. The opening prayers, for example, do not merely signal the beginning of mediation but actively shape the communicative frame, establishing a sacred context that transforms how participants perceive and engage with one another. This aligns with what Carey (2024) describes as the "ritual view of communication," where communication serves not merely to transmit information but to represent shared beliefs and maintain community.

The integration of nonviolent communication techniques with Islamic principles creates what might be termed "prophetic communication," drawing on the communicative practices exemplified by the Prophet Muhammad. This approach emphasises what Rogers (1995) describes as "unconditional positive regard," creating a safe space for vulnerable expression and honest dialogue. The combination of spiritual framing and communication techniques creates a synergistic effect that exceeds what either approach could achieve independently.

Implications for Judicial Reform

The success of the Mosque-Based Mediation Corner has significant implications for judicial reform in Indonesia and other Muslim-majority countries. Rather than viewing community-based religious mediation as an alternative to formal justice systems, it should be recognised as a complementary component of a holistic justice ecosystem. This aligns with what Boule (2019) describes as the “multi-door courthouse” model, where disputants have access to various resolution pathways tailored to their specific needs and preferences.

The Indonesian Supreme Court’s data showing increasing success rates for court-annexed mediation suggests growing judicial recognition of alternative approaches. However, the Mosque-Based Mediation Corner demonstrates that community-based religious initiatives may achieve outcomes that formal systems cannot, particularly in terms of relationship preservation and spiritual satisfaction. This suggests the need for greater integration between formal and informal justice systems, creating what Galanter (2013) describes as “legal pluralism” with appropriate mechanisms for recognising and enforcing community-based resolutions.

Implications for Policy and Practice

The findings of this study have significant implications for both policy and practice in dispute resolution and community development:

1. For Judicial Policy: The success of the Mediation Corner suggests that judicial systems could benefit from greater integration with community-based, faith-inspired dispute resolution mechanisms. Rather than viewing such initiatives as alternatives to formal justice, they might be recognised as complementary components of a holistic justice system.
2. For Community Development: The ABCD approach demonstrated in this project offers a replicable model for community empowerment initiatives. By building on existing community assets rather than focusing on deficits, development programmes can create more sustainable and locally owned solutions to community challenges.
3. For Peacebuilding Practice: The integration of theological principles with modern mediation techniques offers a promising approach to faith-based peacebuilding. This approach honors religious traditions while incorporating effective contemporary practices, creating a synthesis that is both authentic and innovative.
4. For Islamic Institutions: The revitalisation of the mosque as a center for social services, including conflict resolution, suggests new possibilities for Islamic institutions in contemporary society. Rather than being confined to purely religious functions, mosques can serve as holistic community centers addressing diverse needs.

CONCLUSION

The Mosque-Based Mediation Corner demonstrates how “theologically grounded conflict transformation” can successfully integrate Islamic spiritual principles with modern, evidence-based mediation practices. By addressing practical disputes alongside relational, emotional, and spiritual dimensions, this model provides a holistic approach to conflict resolution that secular frameworks often miss. The project proves that sacred spaces can be effectively reimagined as centers for social healing and community empowerment without compromising theological integrity. Ultimately, by balancing authentic religious traditions with contemporary communication techniques, the initiative shows that religious values can be interpreted to promote peace, justice, and inclusivity, offering a practical blueprint for faith-based peacebuilding that extends beyond Muslim communities to diverse religious contexts worldwide.

To build upon these findings, practitioners, religious leaders, and policymakers should focus on several strategic actions. First, faith institutions should systematically integrate

traditional theological training with evidence-based conflict-resolution methods to ensure that mediation remains both culturally resonant and practical. Second, community organisations should adopt Asset-Based Community Development (ABCD) strategies to leverage existing sacred spaces and trust networks as primary infrastructure for social healing. Finally, researchers and policy developers should expand documentation and evaluation of similar faith-based mediation initiatives across diverse multi-religious contexts, thereby facilitating knowledge sharing and establishing scalable frameworks for community resilience and global peacebuilding.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank: 1) the Indonesian Ministry of Religious Affairs and the Rector of UIN Sayyid Ali Rahmatullah Tulungagung for financing this project through the State Higher Education Operational Assistance Funds (Bantuan Operasional Perguruan Tinggi Negeri or BOPTN) of 2024, and 2) the participants for providing the authors with their valuable insights and opinions during the research.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Abu-Nimer, M. (2003). *Nonviolence and peace building in Islam: Theory and practice*. Florida, CA: University Press of Florida.
- Ali, A. Y. (2016). *The Meaning of the Holy Qur'an*. Leicester: The Islamic Foundation.
- Barnlund, D. C. (2008). 'A Transactional Model of Communication.' In C. D. Mortensen (Ed.). *Communication Theory* (2nd ed.). London: Routledge.
<https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315080918>
- Boulle, L. (2019). *Mediation: Skills and techniques* (3rd ed). Sydney: LexisNexis Butterworths.
- Budd, J. W., Colvin, A. J. S., & Pohler, D. (2019). Advancing Dispute Resolution by Understanding the Sources of Conflict: Toward an Integrated Framework. *ILR Review*, 73(2), 254-280. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0019793919866817>
- Bush, R. A. B., & Folger, J. P. (2004). *The Promise of Mediation: The Transformative Approach to Conflict*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.
- Carey, J. W. (2024). *Communication as culture: Essays on media and society* (Revised ed.) New York: Routledge.
- Chambers, R. (1994). Participatory rural appraisal (PRA): Analysis of experience. *World Development*, 22(9), 1253-1268. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-750X\(94\)90003-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-750X(94)90003-5)
- Freire, P. (2003). *Pedagogy of the Oppressed*. New York: Continuum.
- Galanter, M. (2013). Justice in Many Rooms: Courts, Private Ordering, and Indigenous Law. *The Journal of Legal Pluralism and Unofficial Law*, 13(19), 1-47.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/07329113.1981.10756257>
- Galtung, J. (1996). *Peace by peaceful means: Peace and conflict, development and civilisation*. London: Sage Publications.
- Geertz, C. (2017). *The interpretation of cultures* (3rd ed.). New York: Basic Books.
- Harrison, R., Blickem, C., Lamb, J., Kirk, S., & Vassilev, I. (2019). Asset-Based Community Development: Narratives, Practice, and Conditions of Possibility—A Qualitative Study with Community Practitioners. *Sage Open*, 9(1).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244018823081>
- Howson, A. (2021). 'Durkheim's Collective Conscience'. In EBSCO Knowledge Advantage. *EBSCO Research Starters*. (Online). Retrieved from: <https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/history/durkheims-collective-conscience> (Accessed October 30, 2025)
- Imam Bukhari. (2005). *Ṣaḥīḥ Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Adab*. Cairo: Dar al-Hadith.
- Ives, C.D., & Kidwell, J. (2019). Religion and social values for sustainability. *Sustainability Science*, 14, 1355-1362. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-019-00657-0>
- Jauhari, M. A., Putra, R. P., Indraswari, R. P. C., & Saffanah, N. I. (2023). Pemahaman Masyarakat tentang Mediasi Sebagai Upaya Penyelesaian Sengketa Medis di Luar Pengadilan. *To Maega: Jurnal Pengabdian Masyarakat*, 6(1), 54-64.
<https://ojs.unanda.ac.id/index.php/tomaega/article/view/1292/8742023>
- Khikmawati, N. (2020). Pemberdayaan Berbasis Religi: Melihat Fungsi Masjid Sebagai Ruang Religi, Edukasi dan Kultural di Masjid Darusa'adah, Kota Bandung. *Islamic Management and Empowerment Journal*, 2(2), 215-232. <https://doi.org/10.18326/imej.v2i2.215-232>
- Koehrsen, J., & Ives, C. D. (2025). The multiple roles of religious actors in advancing a sustainable future. *Ambio*, 54(8), 1318-1333. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-025-02166-0>
- Kretzmann, J. P., & McKnight, J. (1993). *Building communities from the inside out: A path toward finding and mobilising a community's assets*. Chicago: ACTA Publications.
- Lederach, J. P. (2017). *The little book of conflict transformation*. Good Books.
- Ngcobo, M. T. (2024). Navigating the emotional landscape of mediation: An exploration of the

- role of emotions in conflict resolution and the strategies for effective management. *Conflict Resolution Quarterly*, 41(3), 327-335. <https://doi.org/10.1002/crq.21409>
- Palmer, M., & Finlay, V. (2003). *Faith in Conservation: New Approaches to Religions and the Environment* (World Bank Publications, number 15083). Washington, DC: The World Bank. <https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/570441468763468377/pdf/269751Faith0in0Conservation010paper.pdf>
- Parsons, T. (2013). *The social system* (E-book). London: Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203992951>
- Rajib, K. (2025). Access to Justice: Addressing Case Backlogs and Promoting Gender-Sensitive Alternative Dispute Resolution. *Mimbar Keadilan*, 18(2), 246-265. <https://doi.org/10.30996/mk.v18i2.13144>
- Rawls, J. (1991). *A Theory of Justice* (Revised ed.). Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Rifa'i, A. (2022). REVITALISASI FUNGSI MASJID DALAM KEHIDUPAN MASYARAKAT MODERN. *Universum*, 10(02), 155–163. <https://doi.org/10.30762/universum.v10i02.758>
- Rogers, C. R. (1995). *On becoming a person: A therapist's view of psychotherapy* (2nd ed.). San Francisco, CA: Harper One.
- Rosenberg, M. B. (2015). *Nonviolent communication: A language of life* (3rd ed.). San Diego, CA: Puddledancer Press.
- Sachedina, A. (2009). *The Islamic roots of democratic pluralism*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Samiri, M., Kasim, M. A., Nonci, N., Ahmad, J., & Putra, M. A. A. (2025). Optimalisasi Pengelolaan Beban Kerja Hakim Dan Implikasinya Terhadap Integritas Dan Kualitas Peradilan. *Jurnal Pembangunan Hukum Indonesia*, 7(2), 288-306. <https://doi.org/10.14710/jphi.v7i2.108-127>
- Supreme Court of the Republic of Indonesia. (2022). *Laporan Tahunan 2021*. Jakarta: Author. https://kepaniteraan.mahkamahagung.go.id/images/laporan_tahunan/FA-LAPTAH-2021-LOW.pdf. (Accessed October 28, 2025)
- United Nations. (2015). *Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*. New York, NY: Author. <https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/content/documents/21252030%20Agenda%20for%20Sustainable%20Development%20web.pdf>
- United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs. (2009). *Vision for an Inclusive Society*. New York, NY: Author. <https://www.un.org/esa/socdev/documents/compilation-brochure.pdf>
- Zehr, H. (2021). *The little book of restorative justice*. New York: Good Books.
- Zimmerman, M. A. (2020). "Empowerment theory: Psychological, organisational, and community levels of analysis." In J. Rappaport & E. Seidman (Eds.), *Handbook of community psychology* (pp. 43-63). Springer.
- Zulfa, M. (2015). Transformasi dan Pemberdayaan Umat Berbasis Masjid: Studi pada Masjid Nurussa'adah Salatiga. *INFERENSI: Jurnal Penelitian Sosial Keagamaan*, 9(1), 257-278. <https://doi.org/10.18326/infs13.v9i1.257-278>